arXiv:0709.0903v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 6 Sep 2007

The TQ equation of the 8 vertex model for complex elliptic roots of unity

Klaus Fabricius [*]
Physics Department, University of Wuppertal, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany

Barry M. McCoy[†]
Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794-384

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We extend our studies of the TQ equation introduced by Baxter in his 1972 solution of the 8 vertex model with parameter η given by $2L\eta = 2m_1K + im_2K'$ from $m_2 = 0$ to the more general case of complex η. We find that there are several different cases depending on the parity of m_1 and m_2.

Keywords: 8 vertex model, Q matrices

1 Introduction

In 1972 Baxter published one of the most important and influential papers in statistical mechanics of the 20^{th} century [1]. A loose statement is that in this paper Baxter "solves the 8 vertex model". A more precise statement is that Baxter demonstrates the existence of a matrix $Q(v)$ which satisfies the following functional equation with the transfer matrix $T(v)$ of the 8 vertex model

$$T(v)Q(v) = [h(v+\eta)]^N Q(v-2\eta) + [h(v-\eta)]^N Q(v+2\eta) \tag{1}$$

with

$$[T(v), Q(v')] = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$[Q(v), Q(v')] = 0. \tag{3}$$

Here N is the number of horizontal sites, $h(v)$ is a suitable quasiperiodic function, and η is a constant that is present in the Boltzmann weights of the model which satisfies

$$2L\eta = 2m_1K + im_2K' \tag{4}$$

where L, m_1 and m_2 are integers, K is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind of modulus k and K' is the complete elliptic integral of the complementary modulus $k' = (1-k^2)^{1/2}$. The values of η satisfying the condition (4) are referred to in the physics literature as elliptic roots of unity (which we will abbreviate to "roots of unity"). In the mathematics literature these values of η are referred to as division points.

In the past 35 years there have been many papers which extend, generalize, and comment on equations (1)-(3) which are often collectively referred to as "the TQ equation". Some of this literature is by Baxter [2]-[5], Takhtadzhan and Faddeev [6], Zabrodin [7], Deguchi [8, 9], Bazhanov and Mangazeev [10]-[12], Roan [13] and the present authors [14]-[16]. Each paper has added to our understanding of the original paper [1] but each paper leaves some questions unexplored.

The transfer matrix $T(v)$ of the 8 vertex model has two discrete symmetries expressed by the commutation relations

$$[T(v), S] = 0 \qquad [T(v), R] = 0 \tag{5}$$

---

[*] e-mail Fabricius@theorie.physik.uni-wuppertal.de
[†] e-mail mccoy@max2.physics.sunysb.edu

where (in the canonical basis given in sec. 2)

$$S = \sigma_1^z \otimes \sigma_2^z \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_N^z \qquad R = \sigma_1^x \otimes \sigma_2^x \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_N^x \tag{6}$$

We note that

$$RS = (-1)^N SR \tag{7}$$

and from (5) it follows that

$$[T(v), RS] = 0 \tag{8}$$

If the transfer matrix $T(v)$ were nondegenerate then the matrix $Q(v)$ of (1)-(3) would also satisfy (5) and (8). However, when (4) holds the matrix $T(v)$ has degenerate eigenvalues and the matrix $Q(v)$ no longer needs to satisfy these commutation relations. For this reason the matrix Q which satisfies (1)-(3) is not uniquely defined when (4) holds.

The $Q(v)$ matrix can be chosen to have the same discrete symmetries (5) and (8) as the transfer matrix. This choice is made in the 1973 paper of Baxter [2]. We call this choice $Q_{73}(v)$. This matrix $Q_{73}(v)$ is defined for all values of η. In the original paper [1] of 1972 the condition (4) is imposed and a matrix $Q(v)$ is constructed which is nondegenerate. We call this matrix $Q_{72}(v)$.

We have investigated this construction of $Q_{72}(v)$ in the series of papers [14]-[16]. In the first paper of the series [14] we found for $m_2 = 0$ and m_1 odd that the construction of ref. [1] gives a $Q_{72}(v)$ matrix which commutes with S but does not commute with R and RS. We also found that the construction fails for L odd and m_1 even. For this case a new construction was found in [16] which contains a parameter t. We call $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ the $Q(v)$ matrix constructed by means of [1] and $Q_{72}^{(2)}(v;t)$ the matrix constructed by use of the procedure of [16].

In this paper we extend these constructions by considering the general case of (4) with $m_2 \neq 0$. We find that there are four distinct cases depending on the parity of m_1 and m_2. When necessary we denote these cases as $Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v), Q_{72oo}^{(1)}(v), Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v)$, and $Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;t)$ where the first (second) subscript indicates the parity of m_1 (m_2). These cases are distinguished by different commutation relations with the operators S, R and RS as follows.

Case 1 m_1 odd, m_2 even, N unrestricted

$$[Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v), S] = 0,\ [Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v), R] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v), RS] \neq 0 \tag{9}$$

Case 2 m_1 odd, m_2 odd, N unrestricted

$$[Q_{72oo}^{(1)}(v), S] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72oo}^{(1)}(v), R] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72oo}^{(1)}(v), RS] = 0 \tag{10}$$

Case 3 m_1 even, m_2 odd, N unrestricted

$$[Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v), S] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v), R] = 0,\ [Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v), RS] \neq 0 \tag{11}$$

Case 4 m_1 even, m_2 even, N even.

We find that there are matrices $Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;t)$ for $t = n\eta$ and $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ with n an integer and thus there are several subcases to be distinguished

Case 4A $t = n\eta$

$$[Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;n\eta), S] = 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;n\eta), R] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;n\eta), RS] \neq 0 \tag{12}$$

Case 4B $t = (n+1/2)\eta$, $m_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, and $m_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$

$$[Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), S] = 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), R] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), RS] \neq 0 \tag{13}$$

The matrix $Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;n\eta)$ is similar to the matrix $Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n'+1/2)\eta)$.

Case 4C $t = (n+1/2)\eta$, $m_1 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, $m_2 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$

$$[Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), S] \neq 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), R] = 0,\ [Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;(n+1/2)\eta), RS] \neq 0 \tag{14}$$

Case 4D $t=(n+1/2)\eta,\ m_1\equiv 0 \pmod 4,\quad m_2\equiv 2 \pmod 4$

$$[Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta),S]\neq 0,\ \ [Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta),R]\neq 0, [Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta),RS]=0 \tag{15}$$

No matrix $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v,(n+1/2)\eta)$ exists for $m_1\equiv 2$ (mod4), $m_2\equiv 0$ (mod4). In addition it is known from numerical computations [16] that for general values of t a matrix can be constructed that satisfies (1) and (2) but not (3) which does not commute with any of S,R or RS.

There remains one case for which no matrix has yet been found by use of the methods of refs. [1] or [16] which satisfies (1)-(3); this is m_1 even, m_2 even and N odd. It has been seen in [15] from numerical computations that a TQ equation for eigenvalues holds and the eigenvalues of $Q(v)$ have unique properties not seen in cases 1-4. The particular case $\eta=2K/3$ is extensivly treated in [10] where unique properties also exist for the 6 vertex limit [17]-[20].

In sec. 2 we review the formalism of the 8 vertex model for the case that (4) holds with $m_2\neq 0$. This involves a modification of the theta functions $\Theta(v)$ and $H(v)$ which was first introduced in [2]. The properties of these modified theta functions are summarized in appendix A where we also prove various identities which will be used in the text.. In sec. 3 we review the three steps of the construction of the $Q_{72}(v)$ matrix of ref. [1] which uses the auxiliary matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$. The explicit construction of $Q_R(v)$ is given in sec. 4 with special attention to the recent discovery [16] that the principles of this construction lead to two different Q matrices $Q^{(1)}_{72}(v)$ and $Q^{(2)}_{72}(v;t)$. In sec. 5 we use the methods of ref.[1] to construct the $Q^{(1)}_{72}(v)$ which satisfies the equations (1)-(3) for the three cases where m_1 and m_2 are not both even. In sec. 6 we consider case 4 when N,m_1 and m_2 are even and show that $Q^{(2)}_{72}(v;t)$ satisfies (1) with additional phase factors and that in cases 4A-4D the relations (2) and (3) are satisfied. The quasiperiodicity conditions and the general form of the eigenvalues of $Q^{(1)}_{72}(v)$, $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta)$ and $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ are derived in sec.7 and we conclude in sec. 8 with a discussion of our results.

2 Formulation of the 8 vertex model

The Boltzmann weights of the 8 vertex model are given in terms of elements of a matrix $W_8(\alpha,\beta)_{\pm 1,\pm 1}$ in a 2 dimensional space labeled by ± 1 and an external 2 dimensional space labeled by $\alpha=\pm 1,\beta=\pm 1$. These elements are given in terms of four quantities a,b,c,d as

$$\begin{aligned}
W_8(1,1)|_{1,1}=W_8(-1,-1)|_{-1,-1} &= a\\
W_8(-1,-1)|_{1,1}=W_8(1,1)|_{-1,-1} &= b\\
W_8(-1,1)|_{1,-1}=W_8(1,-1)|_{-1,1} &= c\\
W_8(1,-1)|_{1,-1}=W_8(-1,1)|_{-1,1} &= d
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

(17)

and the transfer matrix in the $2^N\times 2^N$ "external space" is written as

$$T_8(v)|_{\alpha,\beta}=\mathrm{Tr}W_8(\alpha_1,\beta_1)W_8(\alpha_2,\beta_2)\cdots W_8(\alpha_N,\beta_N) \tag{18}$$

where the trace is in the "internal" 2×2 space.

In the famous 1972 paper of Baxter [1] it is shown that any two transfer matrices commute if the 4 parameters for each of the two matrices are constrained by the two conditions

$$\frac{a^2+b^2-c^2-d^2}{2(ab+cd)}=\Delta \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{cd}{ab}=\Gamma \tag{20}$$

These two homogeneous constraints on 4 parameters define a one parameter family which satisfies

$$[T(v),T(v')]=0 \tag{21}$$

The parameter v is made explicit in the 1972 paper [1] by writing the Boltzmann weights in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions

$$H(v) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}q^{(n-\frac{1}{2})^2}\sin[(2n-1)\pi v/(2K)] \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(v) &= 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n q^{n^2}\cos(nv\pi/K)\\
&= -iq^{1/4}e^{\pi iv/(2K)}H(v+iK')
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where K and K' are the standard elliptic integrals of the first kind and

$$q = e^{-\pi K'/K}. \tag{24}$$

The parametrization of [1] is sufficient for the case $m_2 = 0$. However, to deal with the general case of (4) with $m_2 \neq 0$ Baxter in ref. [2] introduces the "modified" theta functions

$$H_m(v) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2}{8KL\eta}(v-K)^2\right)H(v) \qquad \Theta_m(v) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2}{8KL\eta}(v-K)^2\right)\Theta(v) \tag{25}$$

In terms of $\Theta_m(v)$ and $H_m(v)$ the Boltzmann weights are parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \Theta_m(-2\eta)\Theta_m(\eta - v)H_m(\eta + v) \\ b &= -\Theta_m(-2\eta)H_m(\eta - v)\Theta_m(\eta + v) \\ c &= -H_m(-2\eta)\Theta_m(\eta - v)\Theta_m(\eta + v) \\ d &= H_m(-2\eta)H_m(\eta - v)H_m(\eta + v) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

When $m_2 = 0$ the parametrization (26) reduces to the parametrization of [1].

The factor in (25) is chosen so that the modified theta functions have the periodicity [see (eqn (11) of [2])

$$H_m(v + 4L\eta) = H_m(v) \qquad \Theta_m(v + 4L\eta) = \Theta_m(v). \tag{27}$$

In appendix A we demonstrate that these modified theta functions are in fact Jacobi theta functions but that their fundamental parallelogram is no longer spanned by $2K$ and $2iK'$ (the quasiperiods of $H(v)$ and $\Theta(v)$. Instead we find the quasiperiodicity properties

$$H_m(v + \omega_1) = (-1)^{r_1}(-1)^{r_1 r_2}H_m(v) \tag{28}$$

$$\Theta_m(v + \omega_1) = (-1)^{r_1 r_2}\Theta_m(v) \tag{29}$$

$$H_m(v + \omega_2) = (-1)^{b}(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1}H_m(v) = (-1)^{a+b}(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1-r_2}e^{-2\pi v/\omega_1}H_m(v) \tag{30}$$

$$\Theta_m(v + \omega_2) = (-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K/\omega_1)}\Theta_m(v) = (-1)^{a}(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1-r_2}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}\Theta_m(v) \tag{31}$$

where the original (quasi)periods $2K, 2iK'$ and the (quasi)periods ω_1, ω_2 are related by a modular transformation

$$\omega_1 = 2(r_1 K + ir_2 K') \qquad \omega_2 = 2(bK + iaK') \tag{32}$$

$$ar_1 - br_2 = 1. \tag{33}$$

Here, with r_0 defined as the greatest common divisor in $2m_1$ and m_2, the quantities r_1 and r_2 are given by

$$2m_1 = r_0 r_1 \qquad m_2 = r_0 r_2, \tag{34}$$

From (33) the area of the fundamental period parallelogram

$$0,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_1 + \omega_2,\ \omega_2 \tag{35}$$

is $4KK'$. We thus see that the modified theta functions are in fact theta functions of nome

$$q' = e^{i\pi\omega_2/\omega_1} \tag{36}$$

which are modular transforms of the original theta functions $\Theta(v)$ and $H(v)$. We also note that note that

$$2L\eta = r_0\omega_1/2 \tag{37}$$

3 Formal construction of the matrices $Q_{72}(v)$

The construction of ref. [1] of a matrix Q which satisfies (1)-(3) under the condition (4) consists of three steps:

1. Construction of matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$

The first step begins with an assumption that there exists a matrix $Q_R(v)$ of the form

$$Q_R(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr} S_R(\alpha_1,\beta_1)S_R(\alpha_2,\beta_2)\cdots S_R(\alpha_N,\beta_N) \tag{38}$$

with $S_R(\alpha,\beta)$ an $L \times L$ matrix with elements $s_{m,n}(\alpha,\beta)$ which satisfies

$$T(v)Q_R(v) = [h(v+\eta)]^N Q_R(v-2\eta) + [h(v-\eta)]^N Q_R(v+2\eta) \tag{39}$$

where

$$h(v) = \Theta_m(0)\Theta_m(-v)H_m(v) \tag{40}$$

This matrix $Q_R(v)$ cannot be unique because if (39) is multiplied on the left by any matrix A which commutes with $T(v)$ then $AQ_R(v)$ also satisfies (39). In addition we note that the matrix $e^{av}Q_R(v)$ will satisfy (38) with $h(v\pm\eta)^N$ replaced by $e^{\pm 2a\eta}h(v\pm\eta)^N$.

Similarly we construct a matrix $Q_L(v)$

$$Q_L(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr} S_L(\alpha_1,\beta_1)S_L(\alpha_2,\beta_2)\cdots S_L(\alpha_N,\beta_N) \tag{41}$$

which satisfies

$$Q_L(v)T(v) = [h(v+\eta)]^N Q_L(v-2\eta) + [h(v-\eta)]^N Q_L(v+2\eta) \tag{42}$$

This matrix is non-unique by multiplying on the right by any matrix which commutes with $T(v)$.

The matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$ are independently defined and can be independently constructed by analogous procedures. However it is also instructive to note that the matrix $Q_L(v)$ can also be obtained obtained by taking the transpose of (39) and using the symmetry properties of the transfer matrix

$$T^T(v) = (-1)^N T(-v) \tag{43}$$

$$T^T(v) = e^{\pi i N m_2 (v-K)/L\eta} T(2K-v) \tag{44}$$

and the properties

$$h(v) = -h(-v) \tag{45}$$

$$h(v) = e^{i\pi m_2 (v-K)/(L\eta)} h(2K-v) \tag{46}$$

we find constructions for $Q_L(v)$ as

$$Q_L(v) = Q_R^T(-v) \tag{47}$$

$$Q_L(v) = e^{\pi i m_2 v N/(2L\eta)} Q_R^T(2K-v) \tag{48}$$

where to obtain (47) we have used (43) and (45) where to obtain (48) we have used (44) and (46) and we note that (47) [(48)] may differ by right multiplication by a matrix A which commutes with $T(v)$. The matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$ will not in general satisfy either (2) or (3).

2. The interchange relation

To satisfy conditions (2) and (3) we impose the interchange relation

$$Q_L(v_1)AQ_R(v_2) = Q_L(v_2)AQ_R(v_1) \tag{49}$$

where the matrix A is independent of v_1 and v_2, satisfies $A^2 = 1$ and commutes with the transfer matrix $T(v)$. In this paper we will consider the four choices

$$A = I, S, R, RS \tag{50}$$

These choices may be thought of as representing the arbitrariness in the construction of $Q_R(v)$ and/or $Q_L(v)$. We will see below that for cases 1-3 where m_1m_2 is not odd that (49) holds for only two of the four choices of A whereas for case 4 where m_1m_2 is odd (49) holds for all four choices (50).

3. The nonsingularity condition

The final requirement is that the matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$ possess one value $v = v_0$ such that $Q_R(v_0)^{-1}$ and $Q_L(v_0)^{-1}$ exist. Under this nonsingularity assumption we obtain from (39),(42), and (49) that the matrices

$$Q_{72}(v) = Q_R(v)Q_R^{-1}(v_0) = AQ_L^{-1}(v_0)Q_L(v)A \tag{51}$$

and

$$AQ_{72}(v)A = AQ_R(v)Q_R^{-1}(v_0)A = Q_L^{-1}(v_0)Q_L(v) \tag{52}$$

both satisfy the three conditions (1)-(3) needed for the TQ equation.

We will see below in cases 1-3 that $Q_R(v)$ is generically nonsingular but for case 4 where m_1m_2 is odd and (49) holds for all four choices (50) that $Q_R(v)$ is singular for all v. In cases 1-3 where the interchange relation (49) holds for two and only two matrices A_1 and A_2 we obtain from (52)

$$A_1Q_{72}(v)A_1 = A_2Q_{72}(v)A_2 \tag{53}$$

or

$$A_2A_1Q_{72}(v)A_1A_2 = Q_{72}(v) \tag{54}$$

If A_1, A_2 commute then $Q_{72}(v)$ commutes with A_1A_2

4 The matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$

In appendix C of ref. [1] it is shown that for the existence of the matrix $Q_R(v)$ of the form (38) which satisfies (39) it is necessary that the matrix elements $s^R_{m,n}$ of S_R satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}&(ap_n - bp_m)s^R_{m,n}(+,\beta) + (d - cp_mp_n)s^R_{m,n}(-,\beta) = 0\\ &(c - dp_mp_n)s^R_{m,n}(+\beta) + (bp_n - ap_m)s^R_{m,n}(-,\beta) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

This set of homogeneous linear equations will have a nontrivial solution provided

$$(a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2)p_mp_n = ab(p_m^2 + p_n^2) - cd(1 + p_m^2p_n^2) \tag{56}$$

This can only happen for certain values of m and n. For all other values we have

$$s^R_{m,n}(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \tag{57}$$

Using the parameterizations (26) we have

$$\frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{ab} = 2\mathrm{cn}(2\eta)\mathrm{dn}(2\eta), \quad cd/ab = k\mathrm{sn}^2(2\eta) \tag{58}$$

where $\mathrm{sn}(v), \mathrm{cn}(v), \mathrm{dn}(v)$ are the conventional doubly periodic functions with periods $2K$ and $2iK'$ and $\mathrm{sn}(v)$ is given in terms of theta functions as

$$k^{1/2}\mathrm{sn}(v) = H(v)/\Theta(v) \tag{59}$$

Thus (56) becomes

$$2\mathrm{cn}(2\eta)\mathrm{dn}(2\eta)p_mp_n = p_m^2 + p_n^2 - k\mathrm{sn}^2(2\eta)(1 + p_m^2p_n^2) \tag{60}$$

and it is shown in ref.[1] that if p_m is written as

$$p_m = k^{1/2}sn(u) \tag{61}$$

it follows from (60) that

$$p_n = k^{1/2}sn(u \pm 2\eta) \tag{62}$$

In order for the nonsingularity condition for $Q_R(v)$ to hold we need additional nonvanishing elements $s^R_{m,n}$. We consider two possible choices.

4.1 The matrices $Q_R^{(1)}(v)$ and $Q_L^{(1)}(v)$

The first choice is to require

$$s_{1,1}(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0, \quad s_{L,L}(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0 \tag{63}$$

Then equ. (60) has to be satisfied for $n=m$. Then

$$sn(u)=sn(u\pm 2\eta) \tag{64}$$

This fixes the parameter u to become $u=K\pm\eta$ and leads to the restriction to discrete η:

$$2L\eta = 2m_1K + im_2K' \tag{65}$$

One obtains from (61) and (62) that

$$p_n = k^{1/2}sn(K+(2n-1)\eta) \tag{66}$$

We indicate the choice (63) by writing

$$S_R(\alpha,\beta)\to S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta), \quad Q_R(v)\to Q_R^{(1)}(v) \tag{67}$$

and from [1] we find

$$\begin{array}{lcll}
S_R^{(1)}(+,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & H_m(v+K-2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,-k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_R^{(1)}(+,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & H_m(v+K+2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,\ k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_R^{(1)}(+,\beta)_{1,1}(v) & = & H_m(v+K)\tau_{\beta,0} & \\
S_R^{(1)}(+,\beta)_{L,L}(v) & = & H_m(v+K+2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,L} & \\
S_R^{(1)}(-,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K-2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,-k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_R^{(1)}(-,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K+2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,\ k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_R^{(1)}(-,\beta)_{1,1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K)\tau_{\beta,0} & \\
S_R^{(1)}(-,\beta)_{L,L}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K+2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,L} &
\end{array} \tag{68}$$

With this choice the argument of appendix C of ref. [1] shows that the equation (39) holds with $h(v)$ given by (40).

We choose to construct the matrix $Q_L(v)$ from (68) by use of (47) and (A.6) as

$$\begin{array}{lcll}
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,+)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & H_m(v+K+2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,+)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & H_m(v+K-2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,\ k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,+)_{1,1}(v) & = & H_m(v+K)\tau'_{\alpha,0} & \\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,+)_{L,L}(v) & = & H_m(v+K-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L} & \\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,-)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K+2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,-)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K-2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,\ k} & 1\leq k\leq L-1\\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,-)_{1,1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K)\tau'_{\alpha,0} & \\
S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,-)_{L,L}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v+K-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L} &
\end{array} \tag{69}$$

In order to cover the case $m_2\neq 0$ we have to define S_R and S_L in terms of modified theta functions. That this is allowed is immediately obvious from the simple observation that

$$k^{1/2}\mathrm{sn}(v) = H(v)/\Theta(v) = H_m(v)/\Theta_m(v) \tag{70}$$

4.2 The matrices $Q_R^{(2)}(v;t)$ and $Q_L^{(2)}(v;t)$ for N even

The second choice which exists for m_1 and m_2 even was recently found in ref. [16] with

$$s^R_{1,L}(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0, \quad s^R_{L,1}(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0 \tag{71}$$

This choice will always give a vanishing matrix $Q_R^{(2)}$ when used in (38) when N is odd. Consequently whenever we consider $Q_R^{(2)}(v;t)$ we will always assume that N is even.

To obtain this case we need to have (60) hold for $m = 1, n = L$ and $m = L, n = 1$ which because of the symmetry in (60) in m and n gives the single equation

$$\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{sn}^2(v_r + 2\eta) + \mathrm{sn}^2(v_r + 2L\eta) - \mathrm{sn}^2 2\eta(1 + k^2\mathrm{sn}^2(v_r + 2\eta)\mathrm{sn}^2(v_r + 2L\eta)) \\ -2\mathrm{sn}(v_r + 2\eta)\mathrm{sn}(v_r + 2L\eta)\mathrm{cn}2\eta\mathrm{dn}2\eta = 0\end{array} \qquad (72)$$

This equation will hold if p_n is given by (62) with $p_1 = p_{L+1}$ and thus

$$\mathrm{sn}(v_r + 2\eta) = \mathrm{sn}(v_r + 2(L + 1)\eta) \qquad (73)$$

which, using the periodicity properties $\mathrm{sn}(v + 2K) = -\mathrm{sn}v$ and $\mathrm{sn}(v + 2iK') = \mathrm{sn}v$, is satisfied for all v if

$$2L\eta = 4\tilde{m}_1 K + 2i\tilde{m}_2 K' \qquad (74)$$

which is the root of unity condition (4) with $m_1 = 2\tilde{m}_1,\ m_2 = 2\tilde{m}_2$. In other words we are restricted to m_1 and m_2 even in the root of unity condition (4).

We will follow the notation of ref. [16] by setting

$$v_r = t - \eta \qquad (75)$$

Then, indicating the choice (71) by writing

$$S_R(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S_R^{(2)}(\alpha, \beta), \quad Q_R(v) \rightarrow Q_R^{(2)}(v) \qquad (76)$$

we have

$$p_n = k^{1/2}\mathrm{sn}[t + (2n - 1)\eta] = H_m(t + (2n - 1)\eta)/\Theta_m(t + (2n - 1)\eta) \qquad (77)$$

and following [16] we find

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
S_R^{(2)}(+, \beta)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & -H_m(v - t - 2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,-k} \\
S_R^{(2)}(+, \beta)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & H_m(v + t + 2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,\ k} \\
S_R^{(2)}(-, \beta)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v - t - 2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,-k} \\
S_R^{(2)}(-, \beta)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v + t + 2k\eta)\tau_{\beta,\ k} \\
S_R^{(2)}(+, \beta)_{1,L}(v) & = & H_m(v + t + 2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,\ L} \\
S_R^{(2)}(+, \beta)_{L,1}(v) & = & -H_m(v - t - 2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,-L} \\
S_R^{(2)}(-, \beta)_{1,L}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v + t + 2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,L} \\
S_R^{(2)}(-, \beta)_{L,1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v - t - 2L\eta)\tau_{\beta,-L}
\end{array} \qquad (78)$$

With the choice (78) for $S_R^{(2)}$ we may follow the procedure of [1] to obtain the slight generalization of (1)

$$T(v)Q_R^{(2)}(v; t) = \omega^{-N}[h(v + \eta)]^N Q_R^{(2)}(v - 2\eta; t) + \omega^{-N}[h(v - \eta)]^N Q_R^{(2)}(v + 2\eta; t) \qquad (79)$$

where

$$\omega = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2}{2L}\right) \qquad (80)$$

The details of this computation which show the origin of the phase factor ω are given in appendix C.

The companion matrix $Q_L^{(2)}(v; t)$ must satisfy

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v, t)T(v) = \omega^{-N}[h(v + \eta)]^N Q_L^{(2)}(v - 2\eta; t) + \omega^{-}[h(v - \eta)]^N Q_L^{(2)}(v + 2\eta; t) \qquad (81)$$

We find it convenient to use (43) and (45) to construct $Q_L^{(2)}(v; t)$ in terms of $Q_R^{(2)}(v; t)$ as

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v; t) = -Q_R^{(2)T}(2K - v; t)S \qquad (82)$$

where the factor of $-S$ which is inserted for convenience uses the non uniqueness of $Q_L(v)$ under multiplication on the right by any matrix which commutes with $T(v)$. Thus we find

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, +)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & H_m(v + t + 2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-k} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, +)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & -H_m(v - t - 2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,\ k} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, -)_{k,k+1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v + t + 2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-k} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, -)_{k+1,k}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v - t - 2k\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,\ k} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, +)_{1,L}(v) & = & -H_m(v - t - 2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, +)_{L,1}(v) & = & H_m(v + t + 2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-L} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, -)_{1,L}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v - t - 2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L} \\
S_L^{(2)}(\alpha, -)_{L,1}(v) & = & \Theta_m(v + t + 2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,-L}
\end{array} \qquad (83)$$

5 The matrices $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ for m_1 and m_2 not both even

The construction of the matrices $Q_R^{(1)}(v)$ and $Q_L^{(1)}(v)$ given in the previous section is valid for all integer m_1 and m_2 in the root of unity condition (4). However, the validity and the choice of the matrix A in the interchange relation (49) and the nonsingularity condition are different for the different parities of m_1 and m_2.

5.1 The interchange relations

The computation of the interchange relations (49) are similar for all four choices of the matrix A but each case differs in detail. Therefore we will treat the four cases in separately. The results are summarized in 4.1.5.

5.1.1 The case $A = I$

We consider first the interchange relation (49) with $A = 1$ and write

$$Q_L^{(1)}(v')Q_R^{(1)}(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(1)}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(1)}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{84}$$

where $W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2 \times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm} S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R^{(1)}(\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{85}$$

Thus the interchange relation (49) with $A = I$ will follow if we can show that there exists an $L^2 \times L^2$ diagonal matrix Y with elements

$$y_{k,k';l,l'}^{(1)} = y_{k,k'}^{(1)}\delta_{k,l}\delta_{k',k'} \tag{86}$$

such that

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = Y^{(1)}W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')Y^{(1)-1} \tag{87}$$

To examine the possibility of the existence of such a diagonal similarity transformation we need to explicitly compute $W(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ from (85). To do this we use the identity

$$\Theta_m(v')\Theta_m(v) + H_m(v')H_m(v) = f_+(v+v')g_+(v'-v) \tag{88}$$

with

$$f_+(z) = -\frac{2q^{1/4}}{H(K)\Theta(K)}\exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2}{8KL\eta}(K'^2 + 2iKK' - 2Kz)\right)H_m((iK'+z)/2)H_m((iK'-z)/2) \tag{89}$$

$$g_+(z) = H_m((iK'+z)/2+K)H_m((iK'-z)/2+K) \tag{90}$$

where we note the following properties

$$f_+(-z) = e^{i\pi m_2 z/(2L\eta)}f_+(z) \tag{91}$$

$$g_+(-z) = g_+(z) \tag{92}$$

$$g_+(z+4L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1 m_2}g_+(z) \tag{93}$$

and for m_1 and m_2 both even

$$g_+(v+2L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1 m_2/4}g_+(z) \tag{94}$$

The properties (91) and (92) are obvious from the definitions (89) and (90). Property (94) follows from (93) with $m_1 \to m_1/2$ and $m_2 \to m_2/2$. The relation (93) follows from (A.7),(A.8),(A.9) and (A.10). Using (88) we find explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
&W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k.k',l.l'}\\
&=\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v+2K+2(k-k')\eta)g_+(v'-v+2(k+k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_+(v'+v+2K+2(k+l')\eta)g_+(v'-v+2(k-l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,0}f_+(v'+v+2K+2k\eta)g_+(v'-v+2k\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,L}f_+(v'+v+2K+2(k+L)\eta)g_+(v'-v+2(k-L)\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v+2K-2(l+k')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(l-k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_+(v'+v+2K-2(l-l')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(l+l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,0}f_+(v'+v+2K-2l\eta)g_+(v'-v-2l\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,L}f_+(v'+v+2K-2(l-L)\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(l+L)\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v+2K-2k'\eta)g_+(v'-v+2k'\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_+(v'+v+2K+2l'\eta)g_+(v'-v-2l'\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v+2K-2(L+k')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(L-k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_+(v'+v+2K-2(L-l')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(L+l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0}f_+(v'+v+2K)g_+(v'-v)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L}f_+(v'+v+2K+2L\eta)g_+(v'-v-2L\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0}f_+(v'+v+2K-2L\eta)g_+(v'-v-2L\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L}f_+(v'+v+2K)g_+(v'-v-4L\eta)
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

A necessary condition for the existence of a diagonal similarity transformation is that the diagonal elements $W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';k,k'}$ and $W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{k.k':k,k'}$ be equal. From the last four terms in (95) we find that these diagonal elements are

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{11,11}=f_+(v'+v+2K)g_+(v'-v)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{96}$$

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L}=f_+(v'+v+2K+2L\eta)g_+(v'-v-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{97}$$

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1}=f_+(v'+v+2K-2L\eta)g_+(v'-v-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{98}$$

$$W(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L}=f_+(v'+v+2K)g_+(v'-v-4L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{99}$$

It follows from (96) and (99) by use of (93) that

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,1;1,1}=W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1.1;1,1} \tag{100}$$

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L}=W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,L;L,L} \tag{101}$$

To examine the elements $W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L}$ and $W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1}$ we use the identity (93) in (97) and (98) we find

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L}=(-1)^{m_1m_2}W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1,L;1,L} \tag{102}$$

$$W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1}=(-1)^{m_1m_2}W^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,1;L,1} \tag{103}$$

We thus conclude that the interchange relation (49) is not satisfied if m_1 and m_2 are both odd. However, when at least one of the integers m_1,m_2 is even we do have the necessary equality and the remainder of the proof of the existence of the diagonal matrix Y as given in appendix C of ref. [1] holds. We thus conclude that in the case that when m_1m_2 is even that (49) holds.

5.1.2 The case $A=S$

The proof of the interchange relation (49) with $A=S$ is similar to the proof with $A=I$

We first write

$$Q_L^{(1)}(v')SQ_R^{(1)}(v)|_{\alpha,\beta}=\mathrm{Tr}W^{(1)S}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(1)S}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{104}$$

where $W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2\times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'}=\sum_{\gamma=\pm}\gamma S_L(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R(\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{105}$$

Thus (49) will follow if we can show that there exists an $L^2\times L^2$ diagonal matrix Y^S with elements

$$y^{(1)S}_{k,k';l,l'}=y^{(1)S}_{k,k'}\delta_{k,l}\delta_{k',l'} \tag{106}$$

such that

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)=Y^{(1)S}W^S(\alpha,\beta|v,v')Y^{(1)S-1} \tag{107}$$

To explicitly compute the matrix $W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ we use the identity which follows immediately from the identity (88) and (A.7) by sending $v'\rightarrow -v'$

$$H_m(u)H_m(v)-\Theta_m(u)\Theta_m(v)=f_-(u+v)g_-(u-v) \tag{108}$$

where

$$f_-(z)=\frac{2q^{1/4}}{H(K)\Theta(K)}\exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2}{8KL\eta}(K'^2+2iKK'-2Kz)\right)H_m((iK'+z)/2+K)H_m((iK'-z)/2+K) \tag{109}$$

$$g_-(z)=H_m((iK'+z)/2)H_m((iK'-z)/2) \tag{110}$$

which have the properties that

$$f_-(-z)=e^{i\pi m_2 z/(2L\eta)}f_-(z) \tag{111}$$
$$g_-(-z)=g_-(z) \tag{112}$$
$$g_-(z+4L\eta)=(-1)^{m_1m_2}(-1)^{m_2}g_-(z) \tag{113}$$

and for m_1 and m_2 both even

$$g_-(z+2L\eta)=(-1)^{m_1m_2/4}(-1)^{m_2/2}g_-(z) \tag{114}$$

The property (114) follows from (113) with $m_1\rightarrow m_1/2$ and $m_2\rightarrow m_2/2$. The proof of (113) follows from (A.7),(A.8),(A.9) and (A.10). The properties (111) and (112) are obvious from the definitions (109) and (110)

$$\begin{aligned}
&W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'}=\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v+2K+2(k-k')\eta)g_-(v'-v+2(k+k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_-(v'+v+2K+2(k+l')\eta)g_-(v'-v+2(k-l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,0}f_-(v'+v+2K+2k\eta)g_-(v'-v+2k\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k+1,l}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,L}f_-(v'+v+2K+2(k+L)\eta)g_-(v'-v+2(k-L)\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v+2K-2(l+k')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(l-k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_-(v'+v+2K-2(l-l')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(l+l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,0}f_-(v'+v+2K-2l\eta)g_-(v'-v-2l\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,l+1}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,l}\tau_{\beta,L}f_-(v'+v+2K-2(l-L)\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(l+L)\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v+2K-2k'\eta)g_-(v'-v+2k'\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_-(v'+v+2K+2l'\eta)g_-(v'-v-2l'\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k'+1,l'}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v+2K-2(L+k')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(L-k')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',l'+1}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,l'}f_-(v'+v+2K-2(L-l')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(L+l')\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0}f_-(v'+v+2K)g_-(v'-v)\\
&+\delta_{k,1}\delta_{l,1}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L}f_-(v'+v+2K+2L\eta)g_-(v'-v-2L\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',1'}\delta_{l',1}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0}f_-(v'+v+2K-2L\eta)g_-(v'-v-2L\eta)\\
&+\delta_{k,L}\delta_{l,L}\delta_{k',L}\delta_{l',L}\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L}f_-(v'+v+2K)g_-(v'-v-4L\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

In order for the diagonal matrix $Y^{(1)S}$ to exist it is necessary that the diagonal elements of $W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';k,k'}$ be symmetric under the interchange of v' and v. From the last four terms in (115) these diagonal elements are

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,1;1,1}=f_-(v'+v+2K)g_-(v'-v)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{115}$$

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L} = f_-(v'+v+2K+2L\eta)g_-(v'-v-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{116}$$

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1} = f_-(v'+v+2K-2L\eta)g_-(v'-v-2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{117}$$

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L} = f_-(v'+v+2K)g_-(v'-v-4L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{118}$$

The equalities

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,1;1,1} = W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1,1;1,1} \tag{119}$$
$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L} = W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,L;L,L} \tag{120}$$

follow from (113).

To study $W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1L}$ and $W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1}$ we use the identity (113) in (116) and (117) to obtain

$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L} = (-1)^{m_1m_2}(-1)^{m_2}W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1,L;1,L} \tag{121}$$
$$W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1} = (-1)^{m_1m_2}(-1)^{m_2}W^{(1)S}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,1;L,1} \tag{122}$$

From (121) and (122) we conclude that in order for (49) to hold with $A=S$ both m_1 and m_2 must be odd or m_2 must be even. With this restriction the method of appendix C of ref. [1] demonstrates the existence of the similarity transformation Y and thus (49)with $A=S$ is proven.

5.1.3 The case $A = R$

For the case $A=R$ we consider

$$Q_L^{(1)}(v')RQ_R^{(1)}(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(1)R}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(1)R}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{123}$$

where $W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2\times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm} S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R^{(1)}(-\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{124}$$

and use the identity derived from 15.4.28 of [21]

$$\Theta_m(v_1)H_m(v_2)+H_m(v_1)\Theta_m(v_2) = f_+^R(v_1+v_2)g_+^R(v_1-v_2) \tag{125}$$

with

$$f_+^R(z) = 2H_m(z/2)\Theta_m(z/2)/(H_m(K)\Theta_m(K)) \tag{126}$$
$$g_+^R(z) = H_m(K+z/2)\Theta_m(K+z/2) \tag{127}$$

where we note that

$$f_+^R(-z) = -e^{i\pi m_2 z/(2L\eta)}f_+^R(z) \tag{128}$$
$$g_+^R(-z) = g_+^R(z) \tag{129}$$
$$g_+^R(z+4L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1}(-1)^{m_1m_2}g_+^R(z) \tag{130}$$

and for m_1 and m_2 even

$$g_+^R(z+2L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1/2}(-1)^{m_1m_2/4}g_+^R(z) \tag{131}$$

where (129) follows from (127). The proof of (130) follows from (A.7),(A.8),(A.9) and (A.10). The property (131) follows from (130) with $m_1\rightarrow m_1/2$ and $m_2\rightarrow m_2/2$.

The diagonal elements of $W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{11,11} = f_+^R(v+v'+2k)g_+^R(v-v')\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{132}$$

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L} = f_+^R(v+v'+2K+2L\eta)g_+^R(v-v'+2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{133}$$

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1} = f_+^R(v+v'+2K-2L\eta)g_+^R(v-v'+2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{134}$$

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L} = f_+^R(v+v'+2K)g_+^R(v-v'+4L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{135}$$

The equalities

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,1;1,1} = W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1,1;1,1} \tag{136}$$

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L} = W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,L;L,L} \tag{137}$$

follow from (130). and

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L} = (-1)^{m_1m_2}(-1)^{m_1}W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{1,L;1,L} \tag{138}$$

$$W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1} = (-1)^{m_1m_2}(-1)^{m_1}W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')_{L,1;L,1} \tag{139}$$

follow from (130). Consequently with the restriction that m_1 is even or that both m_1 and m_2 are odd the methods of appendix C of [1] demonstrate that the interchange relation (49) holds for $A = R$.

5.1.4 The case $A = RS$

For the case $A = RS$ we consider

$$Q_L^{(1)}(v')RSQ_R^{(1)}(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(1)RS}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(1)RS}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{140}$$

where $W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2 \times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm}\gamma S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R^{(1)}(-\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{141}$$

and use the identity

$$\Theta_m(v_1)H_m(v_2) - H_m(v_1)\Theta_m(v_2) = f_-^R(v_1+v_2)g_-^R(v_1-v_2)) \tag{142}$$

with

$$f_-^R(z) = 2e^{-i\pi m_2K/2L\eta}H_m(z/2-K)\Theta_m(-z/2+K)/(H_m(K)\Theta_m(K) \tag{143}$$

$$g_-^R(z) = H_m(z/2)\Theta_m(-z/2) \tag{144}$$

where we note

$$g_-^R(-z) = -g_-^R(z) \tag{145}$$

$$g_-^R(z+4L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1+m_2}(-1)^{m_1m_2}g_-^R(z) \tag{146}$$

and for both m_1 and m_2 even

$$g_-^R(z+2L\eta) = (-1)^{(m_1+m_2)/2}(-1)^{m_1m_2/4}g_-^R(z) \tag{147}$$

The relation (145) follows from (A.7), the relation (147) follows from (146) with $m_1 \rightarrow m_1/2,\ m_2 \rightarrow m_2/2$, and the proof of (146) follows from (A.7),(A.8),(A.9) and (A.10). The diagonal elements of $W^{(1)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are

$$W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{11,11} = f_-^R(v+v'+2K)g_-^R(v-v')\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{148}$$

$$W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{1,L;1,L} = f_+^R(v+v'+2K+2L\eta)g_-^R(v-v'+2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,0}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{149}$$

$$W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,1;L,1} = f_-(v+v'-2L\eta)g_-^R(v-v'+2L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,0} \tag{150}$$

$$W^{(1)RS}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{L,L;L,L} = f_-^R(v+v'+2K)g_-^R(v-v'+4L\eta)\tau'_{\alpha,L}\tau_{\beta,L} \tag{151}$$

When N is odd the antisymmetry of $g_-^R(z)$ in (148) prevents the proof of [1] from being used. However when N is even the operators S and R commute and thus (49) with $A = RS$ holds if the equivalent relation

$$Q_L(v_1)RSQ_R(v_2) = Q_L(v_2)SRQ_R(v_1) \tag{152}$$

is valid. But

$$Q_L^{(1)}(v')SRQ_R^{(1)}(v)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(1)SR}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(1)SR}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{153}$$

where

$$W^{(1)SR}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm}(-\gamma)S_L^{(1)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}RS_R^{(1)}(-\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'}$$
$$= -W^{(1)SR}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} \tag{154}$$

and the minus sign in (154) compensates for the antisymmetry of $g_-^R(z)$. Therefore by use of (146) in the case

$$(-1)^{m_1+m_2}(-1)^{m_1m_2} = 1 \tag{155}$$

we find that (152) holds and hence we find that the interchange relation (49) holds for N even in the case m_1 and m_2 even but fails in the other three cases.

5.1.5 Summary

The results obtained above for the validity of the interchange relation (49) for $A = I, S$ and R are summarized in the following table where Y (N) indicates that the relation holds (fails).

Table 1: Summary of the values of the matrix A for which the interchange relation (49) holds.

m_1	m_2	I	S	R	RS
o	e	Y	Y	N	N
o	o	N	Y	Y	N
e	o	Y	N	Y	N
e	e	Y	Y	Y	Y

5.2 The nonsingularity condition

It remains to examine the validity of the nonsingularity condition. In the case of $m_2 = 0$ this condition was numerically studied in ref. [14] for several values of L and N and it was found that for L odd that $Q_R(v)$ was nonsingular for all v only when m_1 was odd. We have extended that study to $m_2 \neq 0$ and found that for the cases studied $Q_R(v)$ is singular for all v only when L is odd and both m_1 and m_2 are even.
In the remaining three cases where one or both of m_1 and m_2 are odd the matrices $Q_R(v)$ and $Q_L(v)$ were non singular for generic values of v, We conjecture that this is generally true.

5.3 The matrices $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$

Using the results for the interchange relation summarized in table 1 and assuming the validity of the conjecture of sec. 5.2 on the nonsingularity of $Q_R^{(v)}$ we conclude that the matrix $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ defined by (51) satisfies the TQ equation (1) and the commutation relations (2) and (3) for both case 1 where m_1 is odd and m_2 is even and case 4 where m_1 is even and m_2 is odd. It further follows from the relations summarized in table 1 that the commutation relations (9)-(11) with R, S, and RS hold for the three case where m_1 and m_2 are not both even..

6 The matrix $Q_{72ee}^{(2)}(v;t)$ for case 4 where m_1, m_2 is even and N is even

We found in sec.5.2 that in case 4 where L is odd and m_1, m_2 is even the matrix $Q_{72ee}^{(1)}$ does not exist. Therefore to satisfy the TQ equation

$$T(v)Q^{(2)}(v;t) = \omega^N[h(v+\eta)]^N Q^{(2)}(v-2\eta;t) + \omega^{-N}[h(v-\eta)]^N Q^{(2)}(v+2\eta;t) \tag{156}$$

and the commutation relations (2)-(3) a new construction must be found. For $m_2 = 0$ this was accomplished in ref.[16]. We here generalize this construction to even values of $m_2 \neq 0$. In sec. 4.2 we demonstrated that the matrix $Q_R^{(2)}(v)$ defined by the matrices $S_R^{(2)}(v;t)$ of (78) satisfies the equation (79) and that there is a companion equation for $Q_L^{(2)}(v)$. Therefore to complete the proof of the TQ equation (156) we must find values of t and matrices A for which

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v';t)AQ_R^{(2)}(v;t) = Q_L^{(2)}(v;t)AQ_R^{(2)}(v';t) \tag{157}$$

holds for which $Q_R^{(2)}(v;t)$ is nonsingular.

6.1 The interchange relations

We consider the cases of $A = I, S, R$ and RS separately.

6.1.1 The case $A = I$

We begin by examining (157) with $A = I$ and write

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v';t)Q_R^{(2)}(v;t)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(2)}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(2)}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{158}$$

where $W^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2 \times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm} S_L^{(2)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R^{(2)}(\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{159}$$

The matrix $W^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v))$ is explicitly written out as

$$W^{(2)}_{k,k';k+1,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v+2(k-k')\eta)g_-(v'-v+2t+2(k+k')\eta) \tag{160}$$

$$W^{(2)}_{k+1,k'+1;k,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_-(v'+v-2(k-k')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2t-2(k+k')\eta) \tag{161}$$

$$W^{(2)}_{k,k'+1;k+1,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_+(v'+v+2t+2(k+k')\eta)g_+(v'-v+2(k-k')\eta) \tag{162}$$

$$W^{(2)}_{k+1,k';k,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v-2t-2(k+k')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2(k-k')\eta) \tag{163}$$

where $f_+(z)$ and $g_+(z)$ are given by (89) and (90) and $f_-(z)$ and $g_-(z)$ are given by (109) and (110).

We again look for an $L^2 \times L^2$ diagonal matrix $Y^{(2)}$

$$Y_{m,m';k,k'} = y_{m,m'}\delta_{m,k}\delta_{m',k'} \tag{164}$$

such that

$$W^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = Y^{(2)}W^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta|v,v')Y^{(2)-1} \tag{165}$$

The expressions for the diagonal elements are symmetric under the interchange of v' and v and thus there are no restrictions such as we had for $Q_{72}^{(1)}$ and $Q_{72}^{(1)S}$. Using (160)-(161) and $g_-(z) = g_-(-z)$ in (164)-(165) we find the single equation

$$g_-(v'-v+2t+2(k+k')\eta) = \frac{y_{k,k'}}{y_{k+1,k'+1}}g_-(v-v'+2t+2(k+k')\eta) \tag{166}$$

or equivalently

$$y_{k+1,k'+1} = y_{k,k'}\frac{g_-(v'-v-2(k+k')\eta-2t)}{g_-(v'-v+2(k+k')\eta+2t)} \tag{167}$$

Similarly by using (162)-(163) and $g_+(z) = g_+(-z)$ in (164)-(165) we find the single equation

$$g_+(v'-v+2(k-k')\eta) = \frac{y_{k,k'+1}}{y_{k+1,k'}}g_+(v-v'+2(k-k')\eta) \tag{168}$$

and thus we obtain

$$y_{k+1,k'-1} = y_{k,k'}\frac{g_+(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta)}{g_+(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta)} \tag{169}$$

We follow [16] and note that for the recursions (167) to be free of contradictions we need

$$y_{k+L,k'+L} = y_{k,k'} \tag{170}$$

and for (169) to be free of contradictions

$$y_{k-L,k'+L} = y_{k,k'} \tag{171}$$

In order for (170) to hold we need to choose t such that

$$\frac{g_-(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4(L-1)\eta-2t)}{g_-(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4(L-1)\eta+2t)}\frac{g_-(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4(L-2)\eta-2t)}{g_-(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4(L-2)\eta+2t)}$$
$$\frac{g_-(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-2t)}{g_-(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+2t)} = 1 \tag{172}$$

which will be satisfied if the factors $g_-(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4c_1\eta-2t)$ in the numerator must cancel the factors $g_-(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4c_2\eta+2t)$ in the denominator. For this we need to use the periodicity properties of $g_-(v)$.

From the definition (110) of $g_-(v)$ and the periodicity of $H_m(v)$ (28),(32) it follows that for all even m_1 and m_2

$$g_-(v+4(r_1K+ir_2K'))=g_-(v) \tag{173}$$

Furthermore we use the definitions (4) and (34) in (114) to find

$$g_-(z+r_0(r_1K+ir_2K'))=(-1)^{m_1m_2/4}(-1)^{m_2/2}g_-(z). \tag{174}$$

When $m_2\equiv 2(\mathrm{mod}4)$ we see from (34) that $r_0\equiv 2$ (mod4) and thus it follows from (173) and (174) that we have the additional periodicity condition

$$g_-(z+2(r_1K+ir_2K'))=-(-1)^{m_1/2}g_-(z) \tag{175}$$

Consider first the periodicity (173). This will provide cancellation if an integer I can be found such that

$$-2(k+k')\eta-4c_1\eta-2t=2(k+k')\eta+4c_2\eta+2t+4I(r_1K+ir_2K') \tag{176}$$

which by multiplying by L, using (4) and (34) and defining

$$t=\bar{t}\eta \tag{177}$$

becomes

$$-(k+k')r_0-c_1r_0-\bar{t}r_0=c_2r_0+2LI \tag{178}$$

For m_2 even the quantity r_0 is always even and thus (178) can always be satisfied by integers for $\bar{t}=n$ with n an integer because c_2 can be shifted into the interval $0\leq c_2<L$. Furthermore if $m_2\equiv 0$ (mod4) then for even m_1 we have $r_0\equiv 0$ (mod4) and thus (178) may be satisfied by integers for $\bar{t}=n+1/2$. Thus we have demonstrated that for all cases of m_1 and m_2 even that (170) holds for $\bar{t}=n$ and for $m_2\equiv 0$ (mod4) and m_1 even that (157) with $A=1$ is satisfied for $\bar{t}=n+1/2$ but is not satisfied if $m_2\equiv 2$ (mod4) and m_1 even that (157) with $A=1$ is satisfied for $\bar{t}=n+1/2$ but is not satisfied if $m_2\equiv 2(\mathrm{mod}4)$.

We next consider the periodicity condition (175) which holds for $m_2\equiv 2$ (mod4) and $r_0\equiv 2$ (mod4) which with the additional restriction that $m_1\equiv 2$ (mod4) specializes to

$$g_-(z+2(r_1K+ir_2K'))=g_-(z) \tag{179}$$

This will give the desired cancellation in (172) if instead of (178) we have

$$-(k+k')r_0-c_1r_0-\bar{t}r_0=c_2r_0+LI \tag{180}$$

Using the fact that $r_0\equiv 2$ (mod4) we see that this equation can be satisfied in integers for $\bar{t}=n+1/2$. Thus we have demonstrated that (170) is satisfied for the case $m_1\equiv 2$ (mod4 and $m_2\equiv 2$ (mod4) with $t=(n+1/2)\eta$.

To complete the proof of (157) with $A=1$ it remains to demonstrate that (171) holds. We see from (169) that this will be the case

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{g_+(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta-4(L-1)\eta)}{g_+(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta+4(L-1)\eta)}\frac{g_+(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta-4(L-2)\eta)}{g_+(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta+4(L-2)\eta)}\\&\frac{g_+(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta)}{g_+(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta)}=1\end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

In contrast to (172) this is independent of t and therefore using the periodicity condition which follows from the definition (90) of $g_+(z)$ and the periodicity of $H_m(v)$ (28),(32)

$$g_+(v+4(r_1K+ir_2K'))=g_+(v) \tag{182}$$

we show that (181) holds for all m_1 and m_2 even. Thus we have proven that (157) with $A=I$ holds for the cases

$$m_1 \text{ even},\quad m_2 \text{ even}\quad \text{with}\quad t=n\eta \tag{183}$$

$$m_1 \text{ even},\quad m_2\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}4)\quad \text{with}\quad t=(n+1/2)\eta \tag{184}$$

$$m_1\equiv 2\ (\mathrm{mod}4),\quad m_2\equiv 2\ (\mathrm{mod}4)\quad \text{with}\quad t=(n+1/2)\eta \tag{185}$$

6.1.2 The case $A = S$

We study the interchange relation (157) with $A = S$ in a completely analogous manner by writing

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v';t)SQ_R^{(2)}(v;t)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(2S)}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(2S)}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{186}$$

where $W^{(2S)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2 \times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(2S)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm} S_L^{(2)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}\gamma S_R^{(2)}(\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{187}$$

is explicitly written out as

$$W^{(2S)}_{k,k';k+1,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+(v'+v+2(k-k')\eta)g_+(v'-v+2t+2(k+k')\eta) \tag{188}$$

$$W^{(2S)}_{k+1,k'+1;k,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_+(v'+v-2(k-k')\eta)g_+(v'-v-2t-2(k+k')\eta) \tag{189}$$

$$W^{(2S)}_{k,k'+1;k+1,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_-(v'+v+2t+2(k+k')\eta)g_-(v'-v+2(k-k')\eta) \tag{190}$$

$$W^{(2S)}_{k+1,k';k,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v) = \tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-(v'+v-2t-2(k+k')\eta)g_-(v'-v-2(k-k')\eta) \tag{191}$$

where the roles of $f_+(z)$ and $g_+(z)$ and $f_-(z)$ and $g_-(z)$ are reversed from (160)-(163).

We now use the periodicity property

$$g_+(z + r_0(r_1K + ir_2K')) = (-1)^{m_1m_2/4}g_+(z) \tag{192}$$

which follows from(94) and (182) in exactly the same manner used to prove (157) with $A = I$ to prove that (157) with $A = S$

$$m_1 \text{ even}, \quad m_2 \text{ even} \quad \text{with} \quad t = n\eta \tag{193}$$

$$m_1 \text{ even}, \quad m_2 \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}4) \quad \text{with} \quad t = (n+1/2)\eta \tag{194}$$

$$m_1 \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}4), \quad m_2 \equiv 2 \ (\mathrm{mod}4) \quad \text{with} \quad t = (n+1/2)\eta \tag{195}$$

6.1.3 The case $A = R$

To investigate (157) with $A = R$ we write

$$Q_L^{(2)}(v';t)RQ_R^{(2)}(v;t)|_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Tr}W^{(2R)}(\alpha_1,\beta_1|v',v)\cdots W^{(2R)}(\alpha_N,\beta_N|v',v) \tag{196}$$

where $W^{(2R)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ are $L^2 \times L^2$ matrices with elements

$$W^{(2R)}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)_{k,k';l,l'} = \sum_{\gamma=\pm} S_L^{(2)}(\alpha,\gamma|v')_{k,l}S_R^{(2)}(-\gamma,\beta|v)_{k',l'} \tag{197}$$

By use of the identities (125) and (142) the matrix $W^{(2)R}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)$ is explicitly written out as

$$\begin{aligned}&W^{(2R)}_{k,k';k+1,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)\\ &= \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_-^R(v+v'+2(k-k')\eta)g_-^R(v'-v+2t+2(k+k')\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{198}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&W^{(2R)}_{k+1,k'+1;k,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)\\ &= \tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_-^R(v+v'-2(k-k')\eta)g_-^R(v'-v-2t-2(k+k')\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&W^{(2R)}_{k,k'+1;k+1,k'}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)\\ &= \tau'_{\alpha,-k}\tau_{\beta,k'}f_+^R(v+v'+2t+2(k+k')\eta)g_+^R(v'-v-2(k-k')\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&W^{(2R)}_{k+1,k';k,k'+1}(\alpha,\beta|v',v)\\ &= -\tau'_{\alpha,k}\tau_{\beta,-k'}f_+^R(v+v'-2t-2(k+k')\eta)g_+^R(v'-v-2(k-k')\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

We again follow the procedure of the previous subsection and look for a diagonal similarity transformation. However because of the antisymmetry of $g_-^R(z)$ and recalling the L is odd the consistency condition analogous to (172) for (198) and (199) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{g_-^R(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4(L-1)\eta-2t)}{g_-^R(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4(L-1)\eta+2t)}\frac{g_-^R(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4(L-2)\eta-2t)}{g_-^R(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4(L-2)\eta+2t)}\cdots\\ &\frac{g_-^R(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-2t)}{g_-^R(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+2t)} = -1\end{aligned} \tag{202}$$

whereas in analogy with (181) the consistency condition for (200) and (201) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{g_+^R(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta-4(L-1)\eta)}{g_+^R(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta+4(L-1)\eta)}\frac{g_+^R(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta-4(L-2)\eta)}{g_+^R(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta+4(L-2)\eta)}\cdots\\&\frac{g_+^R(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta)}{g_+^R(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta)}=1\end{aligned}\tag{203}$$

we see that we will satisfy (202) if there is the pairwise relation between factors in the numerator and denominator of

$$g_-^R(v-v'-2(k+k')\eta-4c_1\eta-2t)=-g_-^R(v-v'+2(k+k')\eta+4c_2\eta+2t)\tag{204}$$

and therefore in contrast with the cases $A=I$ and S we must consider antiperiodicity properties of $g_-^R(z)$

In contrast the condition (203) is satisfied if

$$g_+^R(v-v'+2(k-k'+1)\eta-4c_1\eta)=g_+^R(v-v'-2(k-k'+1)\eta+4c_1\eta)\tag{205}$$

which requires a periodicity (and not an antiperiodicity) property for $g_+^R(z)$

The (anti)periodicity properties analogous to (173) follow from the definitions (127) of $g_+^R(z)$ and (144) of $g_-^R(z)$ and the properties (28) and (29) of $H_m(z)$ and $\Theta_m(z)$

$$g_\pm^R(z+4(r_1K+ir_2K')=(-1)^{r_1}g_\pm^R(z)\tag{206}$$

Consider first the the condition (205). In the case that r_1 is even we have from (206

$$g_+^R(z+4(r_1K+ir_2K'))=g_+^R(z)\tag{207}$$

and thus(205) will hold if

$$4(k-k'+1)\eta-4c_1=4c_2+4I(r_1K+ir_2K')\tag{208}$$

which after we multiply by L and use the root of unity condition (4) becomes

$$(k-k'+1)r_0-c_1r_0=c_2r_0+2LI\tag{209}$$

which can always be satisfied in integers because for m_1 and m_2 even r_0 is always even.

In the opposite case where r_1 is odd we have from (206)

$$g_+^R(z+8(r_1K+ir_2K'))=g_+^R(z)\tag{210}$$

and thus (205) will be satisfied if

$$(k-k'+1)r_0-c_1r_0=c_2r_0+4LI\tag{211}$$

This can only hold if $r_0\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}4)$. However it follows from the definition (34) of r_1 that when m_1 is even and r_1 is odd that $r_0/4$ must be an integer. Therefore the condition (205) is always satisfied.

We next attempt to satisfy (204) by use of (206) for r_1 odd. Thus

$$g_-^R(z+4(2I+1)(r_1K+ir_2K'))=-g_-^R(z)\tag{212}$$

and (204) will be satisfied is

$$-(k+k')r_0-c_1r_0-r_0\bar{t}=c_2r_0+2(2I+1)L\tag{213}$$

However, we have just seen that when r_1 is odd and m_1 is even that $r_0/4$ and $m_2/4$ must be integers. Therefore because $2I+1$ and L are odd (213) cannot be satisfied in integers for any integer $\bar{t}$. Therefore there are no solutions for $A=R$ which are analogous to the solutions $t=n\eta$ for the cases $A=I$ and S. However, if $\bar{t}=n+1/2$ the condition (213) can be satisfied in integers if $r_0/4$ is an odd integer which leads to the conclusion that the interchange relation (157) with $A=R$ holds for $t=(n+1/2)\eta$ when

$$m_1\equiv 2\ (\mathrm{mod}4),\quad m_2\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}4)\tag{214}$$

It remains to investigate the possibility of using (147) to satisfy (204). The condition (147) is antiperiodic in the three cases where $m_1/4$ and $m_2/4$ are not both integers. We have already considered the case $m_2\equiv 0$ (mod4) and thus need only consider the remaining cases where $m_2\equiv 2$ (mod4). In these cases $r_0\equiv 2$ (mod4) and thus, because m_1 is even r_1 must be even. Thus we find from (206) and (147) that for m_1 even and $m_2\equiv 2$ (mod4) that

$$g_-^R(z+2(2I+1)(r_1K+ir_2K'))=-g_-^R(z)\tag{215}$$

and from this it follows that (202) holds for $t=(n+1/2)\eta$

6.1.4 The case $A = RS$

The case $A = RS$ is treated by similar methods and we find that the only case where the interchange relation (157) holds is for $t = (n + 1/2)\eta$, $m_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $m_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

6.1.5 Summary

The results obtained above for the validity of the interchange relation (157) with $t = n\eta$ and $(n+1/2)\eta$ for $A = I, S$ and R are summarized in the following table where Y (N) indicates that the relation holds (fails).

Table 2: Summary of the values of the matrix A for which the interchange relation (157) with $t = n\eta$ holds where the notation 0(2) stands for $\equiv 0(2)(\mathrm{mod}4)$

m_1	m_2	I	S	R	RS
0	0	Y	Y	N	N
2	0	Y	Y	N	N
0	2	Y	Y	N	N
2	2	Y	Y	N	N

Table 3: Summary of the values of the matrix A for which the interchange relation (157) with $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ holds where the notation 0(2) stands for $\equiv 0(2)$ (mod4)

m_1	m_2	I	S	R	RS
0	0	Y	Y	N	N
2	0	Y	Y	Y	Y
0	2	N	Y	Y	N
2	2	Y	N	Y	N

6.2 The nonsingularity condition

We have found numerically for several special cases that $Q_R^{(2)}(v; n\eta)$ is non singular for m_1 and m_2 even and that $Q_R^{(2)}(v; (n+1/2)\eta)$ is singular only for $m_1 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and $m_2 \equiv 0$ (mod4). We conjecture that this is true generally.

6.3 The matrices $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;t)$ for $t = n\eta$ and $(n+1/2)\eta$

From the results for the interchange relation (157) summarized in table 2 for $t = n\eta$ and in table 3 for $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ and assuming the validity of the nonsingularity conjecture we conclude that the matrix $Q_{72}^{(2)}(v; n\eta)$ is constructed from (51) for all even m_1 and m_2 with the commutation relation given in (12) of the introduction and that the matrix $Q_{72}^{(2)}(v; (n+1/2)\eta)$ is similarly constructed from (51) for the three cases

$$\begin{aligned} &m_1 \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}4), \quad m_2 \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}4) \\ &m_1 \equiv 2 \ (\mathrm{mod}4), \quad m_2 \equiv 2 \ (\mathrm{mod}4) \\ &m_1 \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}4), \quad m_2 \equiv 2 \ (\mathrm{mod}4) \end{aligned} \tag{216}$$

with the commutation relations given by (13)-(15).

7 Quasiperiodicity properties of $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ and $Q_{72}^{(2)}(v;t)$

We complete our discussion of the Q matrices by deriving their quasiperiodicity properties and general form of the eigenvalues.

7.1 Quasiperiodicity of $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$

To compute the quasiperiodicity properties of $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ we first use the quasiperiodicity properties (28)-(31) in the definition of $S_R^{(1)}$ (68) to find

$$S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{j,k}(v+\omega_1) = (-\alpha)^{r_1}(-1)^{r_1 r_2} S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{j,k}(v) \tag{217}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lcll} S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v+\omega_2) & = & (-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}e^{4\pi ik\eta/\omega_1}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) & 1\leq k\leq L-1 \\ S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v+\omega_2) & = & (-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}e^{-4\pi ik\eta/\omega_1}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) & 1\leq k\leq L-1 \\ S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{1,1}(v+\omega_2) & = & (-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{1,1}(v) & \\ S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{L,L}(v+\omega_2) & = & (-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}(-1)^{r_0}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)_{L,L}(v) & \end{array} \tag{218}$$

The dependence of (218) on r_0 distinguishes case 1 with m_1 odd and m_2 even from cases 2 and 3 with m_2 odd.

7.1.1 Case 1 with m_1 odd and m_2 even

In case 1 where m_1 is odd and m_2 is even the greatest common factor r_0 in $2m_1$ and m_2 is even, r_1 must be odd but r_2 is unrestricted. Therefore we find directly from the quasiperiodicity (217) and from (38) and (51) that

$$Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v+\omega_1)=-S(-1)^{Nr_2}Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v) \tag{219}$$

To examine quasiperiodicity under $v\rightarrow v+\omega_2$ we use the diagonal similarity transformation (2.13) of [14] to write (218) as

$$S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)(v+\omega_2)=(-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi iv/\omega_1}M^{(1)}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)(v)M^{(1)-1} \tag{220}$$

with

$$M_{k,k'}^{(1)}=e^{-2\pi i\eta k(k-1)/\omega_1}\delta_{k,k'} \tag{221}$$

Thus we find from (38) and (51) that

$$Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v+\omega_2)=(-S)^b(-1)^{Nab}e^{-2\pi iNv/\omega_1}q'^{-N}Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v) \tag{222}$$

It follows from (219), (222) and the fact that the eigenvectors of $Q_{72}^{(1)}(v)$ are independent of v that $Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v)$ commutes with S (as was shown directly in sec. 5)

It follows from (219) and (222) that $Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v)$ has N zeros in the fundamental parallelogram (35)

$$0,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_1+\omega_2,\ \omega_2 \tag{223}$$

and thus may be written in factorized form as

$$Q_{72oe}^{(1)}(v)=\mathcal{K}\exp(-i\nu\pi v/\omega_1)\prod_{j=1}^{N}H_m(v-v_j) \tag{224}$$

with v_j in the parallelogram (223). Using the form (224) we find from the quasiperiodicity condition (219)

$$1=e^{\pi i(1+\nu_S+\nu+N)} \tag{225}$$

and thus

$$1+\nu+N+\nu_S=\text{even integer} \tag{226}$$

where $(-1)^{\nu_S}$ is the eigenvalue of S.

From (222) we find

$$(-S)^b=e^{-\nu\pi i\omega_2/\omega_1}(-1)^{bN}\exp(2\pi i\sum_{j=1}^{N}(v_j+K)/\omega_1) \tag{227}$$

and thus

$$b(\nu_S+1)-\nu\omega_2/\omega_1+bN+2\sum_{j=1}^{N}(v_j+K)/\omega_1=\text{even integer} \tag{228}$$

7.1.2 Cases 2 and 3 with m_2 odd

When m_2 is odd we see from (34) that r_0 and r_2 are odd and r_1 is even for both m_1 even and odd. Therefore because r_0 is odd we find instead of the quasiperiodicity condition (220) under $v \rightarrow v+\omega_2$ we have instead a quasiperiodicity under $v \rightarrow v+2\omega_2$

$$S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)(v+2\omega_2)=q'^{-4}e^{-4\pi iv/\omega_1}M^{(1)2}S_R^{(1)}(\alpha,\beta)(v)M^{(1)-2} \tag{229}$$

to find

$$Q_R^{(1)}(v+2\omega_2)=q'^{-4N}e^{-4\pi iNv/\omega_1}Q_R^{(1)}(v) \tag{230}$$

Thus by use of (51) we find

$$Q_{72xo}^{(1)}(v+2\omega_2)=q'^{-4N}e^{-4\pi iNv/\omega_1}Q_{72xo}^{(1)}(v) \tag{231}$$

where x is either e or o.

If the area of the fundamental parallelogram is to be $4KK'$ then the quasiperiodic property (231) mandates that instead of the parallelogram (223) we need to consider the parallelogram

$$0,\ \omega_1/2, \omega_1/2+2\omega_2,\ 2\omega_2 \tag{232}$$

To obtain the periodicity properties under $v \rightarrow \omega_1/2$ we write

$$\omega_1/2=r_1K+ir_2K' \tag{233}$$

where $r_1/2$ is an integer because r_1 is even. It then follows from the definitions (25) and the properties (A.5),(A.8) and (A.9) that

$$H_m(v+\omega_1/2)=(-1)^{r_1/2}e^{\pi ir_1r_2/4}\Theta_m(v) \tag{234}$$

$$\Theta_m(v+\omega_1/2)=e^{\pi ir_1r_2/4}H_m(v) \tag{235}$$

We therefore obtain for m_2 odd and all m_1 that

$$S_R^{(1)}(v+\omega_1/2)=e^{\pi ir_1r_2/4}RS^{r_1/2}S_R^{(1)}(v) \tag{236}$$

Case 3 with m_1 even

When m_1 is further restricted to be even we find from (34) that $r_1/2$ is even and therefore (236) may be written as

$$S_R^{(1)}(v+\omega_1/2)=e^{\pi ir_1r_2/4}RS_R^{(1)}(v) \tag{237}$$

Therefore we find from (38) and (51) that

$$Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v+\omega_1/2)=e^{N\pi ir_1r_2/4}RQ_{72eo}^{(1)}(v) \tag{238}$$

and from (238) and the fact that the eigenvectors of $Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v)$ are independent of v it follows that

$$[Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v),R]=0 \tag{239}$$

which has been directly proven in sec. 5.

From the quasiperiodicity relations (231) and (238) it follows that the eigenvalues of $Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v)$ may be written in terms of $H_m(v)$ as

$$Q_{72eo}^{(1)}(v)=\mathcal{K}e^{-\nu 2\pi iv/\omega_1}\prod_{j=1}^{N}H_m(v/2-v_j/2)H_m(v/2-v_j/2+\omega_1/4)H_m(v/2-v_j/2+\omega_1/2)H_m(v/2-v_j/2+3\omega_1/4) \tag{240}$$

where the N roots v_j lie in the fundamental parallelogram (232). From the quasiperiodicity relation (238) we find the sum rule

$$e^{N\pi ir_1r_2/4}(-1)^{\nu_R}=(-1)^{\nu} \tag{241}$$

where $(-1)^{\nu_R}$ are the eigenvalues of R and from the quasiperiodicity relation (231) we find the sum rule

$$1 = \exp\left(-\nu 4\pi i\omega_2/\omega_1 + 4\pi i\sum_{j=1}^{N}(v_j + 2K)/\omega_1\right) \tag{242}$$

Case 2 with m_1 odd

The quasiperiodicity relation (236) also holds but now for m_1 odd we have from (34) that $r_1/2$ is odd and thus instead of (238) we have

$$Q^{(1)}_{72oo}(v + \omega_1/2) = e^{N\pi i r_1 r_2/4} RSQ^{(1)}_{72oo}(v) \tag{243}$$

and therefore

$$[Q^{(1)}_{72oo}(v), RS] = 0 \tag{244}$$

which has been directly shown in sec. 5.

It follows from the quasiperiodicity relations (243) and (231) that the eigenvalues of $Q^{(1)}_{72oo}(v)$ are of the form (240) where the sum rule (241) is replaced by

$$e^{N\pi i r_1 r_2/4}(-1)^{\nu_{RS}} = (-1)^{\nu} \tag{245}$$

where $(-1)^{\nu_{RS}}$ are the eigenvalues of RS.

7.2 Quasiperiodicity for $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;t)$

When m_1 and m_2 are both even we found that $Q^{(1)}_{72}$ does not exist and that to solve the TQ equation we needed to use the matrix $Q^{(2)}_{72}(v;t)$ constructed in sec. 6. This matrix exists only for N even and we recall that for m_1 and m_2 both even that r_0 is even. In fact we will see that for $t = (n + 1/2)\eta$ there will be different cases for $r_0 \equiv 0$ (mod4) and $r_0 \equiv 2$ (mod4).

We find from (78) and (28)-(31) that the quasi periodicity properties of $S^{(2)}_R(v)$ are

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{j,k}(v + \omega_1) = (-\alpha)^{r_1}(-1)^{r_1 r_2} S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{j,k}(v) \tag{246}$$

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v + \omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{b}(-1)^{ab} q'^{-1} e^{-2\pi i(v-2k\eta-t-K)/\omega_1} S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) \tag{247}$$

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v + \omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{b}(-1)^{ab} q'^{-1} e^{-2\pi i(v+2k\eta+t-K)/\omega_1} S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) \tag{248}$$

From (246) we find for all t that $Q^{(2)}_R(v;t)$ has the periodicity property (recalling that N is even)

$$Q^{(2)}_R(v + \omega_1;t) = (-S)^{r_1} Q^{(2)}_R(v;t) \tag{249}$$

However,the quasiperiodicity of $Q^{(2)}_R(v;t)$ under $v \to v+\omega_2$ is different for the two cases $t = n\eta$ and $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ and will be treated separately.

7.2.1 Quasiperiodicity for $t = n\eta$

When $t = n\eta$ the matrix $S^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta)(v + \omega_2)$ may be written as

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)(v + \omega_2) = (-1)^{nr_0/2}(-\alpha)^{b}(-1)^{ab} q'^{-1} e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1} M^{(2;0)} S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)(v) M^{(2;0)-1} \tag{250}$$

with $M^{(2;0)}$ given by

$$M^{(2;0)}_{k,k'} = \delta_{k,k'} e^{-\pi i r_0 k(k-1)/(2L)}(-1)^{nr_0 k/2} e^{-\pi i n r_0 k/(2L)} \tag{251}$$

and thus we obtain from (38)

$$Q^{(2)}_R(v + \omega_2; n\eta) = (-S)^{b} q'^{-N} e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1} Q^{(2)}_R(v;t) \tag{252}$$

Thus for $t = n\eta$ we find from the definition (51) of $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta)$ that

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v + \omega_1; n\eta) = (-S)^{r_1} Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta) \tag{253}$$

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v + \omega_2; n\eta) = (-S)^{b} q'^{-N} e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1} Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta) \tag{254}$$

It follows from (34) that both b and r_1 cannot both be even and thus S appears in at least one of (253) or (254) and therefore as previously found in sec. 6 it follows that $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta)$ commutes with the operator S.

We further conclude from (253) and (254) that the eigenvalues of $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta)$ may be written in the form

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta) = \mathcal{K}\exp(-i\nu\pi v/\omega_1)\prod_{j=1}^{N} H_m(v-v_j) \tag{255}$$

where the N zeros v_j are in the parallelogram (223) and the sum rules

$$e^{-i\pi\nu} = (-1)^{r_1(\nu_s-1)} \tag{256}$$

$$q'^{-\nu}\exp(2\pi i\sum_{j=1}^{N} v_j/\omega_1) = (-1)^{b(\nu_s-1)} \tag{257}$$

are satisfied. In particular if r_1 is even we see from (256) that $\nu = 0$.

7.3 Quasiperiodicity for $t = (n+1/2)\eta$

When $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ we first consider the case $m_2 \equiv 0$ (mod4). In this case $r_0 \equiv 0$ (mod4) and find from (247) and (248) that

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)(v+\omega_2;(n+1/2)\eta) = (-1)^{r_0/4}(-\alpha)^b(-1)^{ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1}M^{(2;1)}S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)(v;(n+1/2)\eta)M^{(2;1)-1} \tag{258}$$

where

$$M^{(2;1)}_{k,k'} = \delta_{k,k'}e^{-\pi i r_0 k(k-1)/(2L)}(-1)^{r_0 k/4}e^{-\pi i(2n+1)r_0 k/(4L)} \tag{259}$$

Thus from find from (38) that

$$Q^{(2)}_R(v+\omega_2;(n+1/2)\eta) = (-S)^b q'^{-N}e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1}Q^{(2)}_R(v;(n+1/2)\eta) \tag{260}$$

This is identical with (252) for $Q^{(2)}(v;n\eta)$ and thus we conclude that for $r_0 \equiv 0$ (mod4) that $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ has the same quasiperiodicity properties (253),(254) and form of eigenvalues (255) as does $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;n\eta)$

We next consider $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) where $r_0 \equiv 2$ (mod4) r_1 is even and r_2 is odd. In this case the similarity transformation in (258) will not exist. The reason for this that in order for (258) to hold it was necessary that

$$e^{\pi i r_0/4} = \pm 1 \tag{261}$$

which is not the case when $r_0 \equiv 2$ (mod4). In this case the analogous argument shows that $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ is quasi-periodic under $v \to v+2\omega_2$ and thus has $2N$ zeros in the parallelogram 0, ω_1. $\omega_1+2\omega_2, 2\omega_2$.

However, these $2N$ zeros are not independent because there is an additional quasiperiodicity under $v \to v+\omega_2+\omega_1/2$. To show this we use the relations which follow from (A.8)-(A.11) when r_1 is even and r_2 is odd

$$H_m(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = -(-1)^{r_1/2+b}(-1)^{r_1r_2/4+ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1}\Theta_m(v) \tag{262}$$

$$\Theta_m(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = -(-1)^{r_1r_2/4+ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1}H_m(v) \tag{263}$$

and find from (78) that

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{r_1/2+b}f(v)e^{\pi i/2}e^{2\pi i(t+2k\eta)/\omega_1}S^{(2)}_R(-\alpha,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) \tag{264}$$

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{r_1/2+b}f(v)e^{-\pi i/2}e^{-2\pi i(t+2k\eta)/\omega_1}S^{(2)}_R(-\alpha,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) \tag{265}$$

$$S^{(2)}(\alpha,\beta)_{1,L}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{r_1/2+b}f(v)e^{-\pi i/2}e^{-2\pi i(t+2L\eta)/\omega_1}S^{(2)}_R(-\alpha,\beta)_{1,L}(v) \tag{266}$$

$$S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)_{L,1}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2) = (-\alpha)^{r_1/2+b}f(v)e^{\pi i/2}e^{2\pi i(t+2L\eta)/\omega_1}S^{(2)}_R(-\alpha,\beta)_{L,1}(v) \tag{267}$$

where

$$f(v) = -i(-1)^{r_1r_2/4+ab}q'^{-1}e^{-2\pi i(v-K)/\omega_1} \tag{268}$$

The expressions (264)-(267) can be written as

$$M^{(2;2)}S^{(2)}_R(\alpha,\beta)(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2)M^{(2;2)-1} = \epsilon(-\alpha)^{r_1/2+b}f(v)S^{(2)}_R(-\alpha,\beta)(v) \tag{269}$$

with $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$M^{(2;2)}_{k,k'} = m_k \delta_{k,k'} \tag{270}$$

where

$$m_k = (i\epsilon)^{k-1} e^{2\pi i(k-1)(t+k\eta)/\omega_1} \tag{271}$$

and for consistency we need

$$(i\epsilon)^L e^{2\pi i t L/\omega_1} e^{2\pi i L(L+1)\eta/\omega_1} = 1 \tag{272}$$

Using (37) and the fact that $r_0/2$ and L are odd (272) reduces to

$$(i\epsilon)^L e^{\pi i t r_0/(2\eta)} = 1 \tag{273}$$

which with an appropriate choice of $\epsilon = \pm 1$ is satisfied for $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ as desired. Thus we obtain from (269) for $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and N even

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2;(n+1/2)\eta) = (-1)^{N/2} S^{r_1/2+b} (-1)^{Nr_1r_2/4} q'^{-N} e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1} R Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta) \tag{274}$$

Finally we recall from (33) that b must be odd because r_1 is even and r_2 is odd and that because $r_0 \equiv 2$ (mod4) we have $r_1 \equiv 2(0)$ (mod4) for $m_1 \equiv 2(0)$ (mod4). Thus we find that for $m_1 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) that

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2;(n+1/2)\eta) = q'^{-N} e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1} R Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta) \tag{275}$$

from which it follows in agreement with (14) that $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ commutes with R. For $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and $m_1 \equiv 0$ (mod4)

$$Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v+\omega_1/2+\omega_2;(n+1/2)\eta) = (-1)^{N/2} q'^{-N} e^{-2\pi i N(v-K)/\omega_1} R S Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta) \tag{276}$$

from which it follows in agreement with (15) that $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ commutes with RS

It follows from (275) and (276) that for $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) there are N zeros in the fundamental parallelogram

$$0,\ \omega_1,\ 3\omega_1/2+\omega_2,\ \omega_1/2+\omega_2 \tag{277}$$

For $m_1 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) it follows from (275) that the eigenvalues of $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta) \\ &= \mathcal{K} \prod_{j=1}^{N} H_m\left(\frac{v-v_j}{2}\right) H_m\left(\frac{v-v_j+\omega_1/2+\omega_2}{2}\right) H_m\left(\frac{v-v_j+\omega_1+2\omega_2}{2}\right) H_m\left(\frac{v-v_j+3\omega_1/2+3\omega_2}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{278}$$

which as required by the condition (249) is periodic in $v \rightarrow v+\omega_1$ and satisfies the quasiperiodicity condition (275) with the sum rule

$$(-1)^{\nu_R} = q'^{-3N} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{N}(v_j+K)/\omega_1} = q'^{-3N-Nr_2} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_j/\omega_1} \tag{279}$$

For $m_1 \equiv 0$ (mod4) and $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) it follows from (276) that the eigenvalues of $Q^{(2)}_{72ee}(v;(n+1/2)\eta)$ are of the form (278) with the sum rule

$$(-1)^{N/2}(-1)^{\nu_{RS}} = q'^{-3N} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{N}(v_j+K)/\omega_1} = q'^{-3N-Nr_2} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_j/\omega_1} \tag{280}$$

8 Conclusion

In this paper we have extended the construction of the matrices $Q_{72}(v)$ that solve the TQ equation (1)-(3) which were introduced in [1] to solve the eight vertex model in the elliptic root of unity case (4) from $m_2 = 0$ to $m_2 \neq 0$ and have found that the constructions depend on the parities of m_1 and m_2. In all cases we have examined the matrices $Q_{72}(v)$ are nondegenerate.

We have found that in all cases the matrix $Q_{72}(v)$ defined at roots of unity (4) commutes with only one of the three matrices $S,\ R$ and RS which are the discrete symmetries of the transfer matrix $T(v)$ of the eight-vertex model. This is in contrast with the matrix $Q_{73}(v)$ of [2] which commutes with all three of the discrete symmetry operators. This failure of $Q_{72}(v)$ to commute with one of the discrete symmetry operators of $T(v)$ when combined with the nondegeneracy of $Q_{72}(v)$ provides an explanation of the existence of some eigenvalues of $T(v)$ which are at least doubly degenerate. This mechanism is not possible for $Q_{73}(v)$ at roots of unity and is an indication that thee is a sense in which $Q_{72}(v)$ contains information which is lacking in $Q_{73}(v)$.

Perhaps the most novel feature of our results is that in the case where both m_1 and m_2 are even that there are different cases depending on whether or not m_1 and m_2 are divisible by four and that for $m_2 \equiv 2$ (mod4) there exist two matrices with different commutation properties with the discrete symmetry operators. These matrices map a degenerate subspace of T on another degenerate subspace with opposite eigenvalue of the discrete symmetry operator thereby doubling the size of degenerate muliplets of T.

We finally note that even though there are cases where $Q_R^{(1)}(v)$ or $Q_R^{(2)}(v)$ obey the interchange relation (49) with all four of the operators I, S, R and RS there is in fact no matrix $Q_{72}(v)$ which shares with $Q_{73}(v)$ the property of commuting with all three operators R, S and RS. This would happen for $Q_R^{(1)}(v)$ if m_1 and m_2 are even[1] and for $Q_R^{(2)}(v;t)$ if $m_1 \equiv 2$ (mod4) and $m_2 \equiv 0$ (mod4) and $t = (n+1/2)\eta$ [2], but in these cases $Q_R(v)$ is singular and thus the construction (51) of $Q_{72}(v)$ cannot be made.

The various new properties of $Q_{72}(v)$ found in this paper for $m_2 \neq 0$ must contain useful information about the still undetermined symmetry algebra of the eight vertex model at elliptic roots of unity.

Appendix 1. Properties of the modified theta functions

The functions $H(v)$ and $\Theta(v)$ defined by (22) and (23) have the following well known properties

$$H(-v) = -H(v), \qquad \Theta(-v) = \Theta(v) \tag{A.1}$$

$$H(v+2nK) = (-)^n H(v), \qquad \Theta(v+2nK) = \Theta(v) \tag{A.2}$$

$$H(v+2inK') = (-1)^n q^{-n^2} e^{-n\pi i v/K} H(v) \tag{A.3}$$

$$\Theta(v+2inK') = (-1)^n q^{-n^2} e^{-n\pi i v/K} \Theta(v) \tag{A.4}$$

$$\Theta(v+iK') = iq^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{\pi i v}{2K}} H(v), \qquad H(v+iK') = iq^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{\pi i v}{2K}} \Theta(v) \tag{A.5}$$

It follows immediately from (A.1)-(A.5) that the modified theta functions $H_m(v)$ and $\Theta_m(v)$ defined by (25) have the properties

$$H_m(2K-v) = H_m(v), \qquad \Theta_m(2K-v) = \Theta_m(v) \tag{A.6}$$

$$H_m(-v) = -\exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2 v}{2L\eta}\right) H_m(v) \qquad \Theta_m(-v) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2 v}{2L\eta}\right) \Theta_m(v) \tag{A.7}$$

$$H_m(u+2rK+2isK') = (-1)^r (-1)^{rs} H_m(u) \exp\{(\pi i (r m_2/2 - s m_1)[u+(r-1)K+isK']/(L\eta)\} \tag{A.8}$$

and

$$\Theta_m(u+2rK+2isK') = (-1)^{rs} \Theta_m(u) \exp\{(\pi i (r m_2/2 - s m_1)[u+(r-1)K+isK']/(L\eta)\} \tag{A.9}$$

$$\Theta_m(v+iK') = iq^{-1/4}\exp\left(\frac{-i\pi m_1 v}{2L\eta}\right) C H_m(v), \quad H_m(v+iK') = iq^{-1/4}\exp\left(\frac{-i\pi m_1 v}{2L\eta}\right) C \Theta_m(v) \tag{A.10}$$

where

$$C = \exp\left(\frac{\pi m_2 K'}{8KL\eta}(2K - iK')\right) \tag{A.11}$$

For convenience we note the special cases

$$H_m(v+2L\eta) = (-1)^{m_1} i^{m_1 m_2} \begin{cases} H_m(v) & \text{if } m_2\text{=even} \\ \Theta_m(v) & \text{if } m_2\text{=odd} \end{cases} \tag{A.12}$$

---

[1] See Table 1.

[2] See Table 3.

$$\Theta_m(v+2L\eta) = i^{m_1 m_2} \begin{cases} \Theta_m(v) & \text{if } m_2\text{=even} \\ H_m(v) & \text{if } m_2\text{=odd} \end{cases} \tag{A.13}$$

The properties (A.12),(A.13), (28)-(31) follow immediately from (A.8)-(A.11).

The functions $H_m(v)$ and $\Theta_m(v)$ satisfy the identities

$$\begin{aligned} &H_m(u)H_m(v)H_m(w)H_m(u+v+w) + \Theta_m(u)\Theta_m(v)\Theta_m(w)\Theta_m(u+v+w) \\ &= \Theta_m(0)\Theta_m(u+v)\Theta_m(u+w)\Theta_m(v+w) \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &H_m(u)H_m(v)\Theta_m(w)\Theta_m(u+v+w) + \Theta_m(u)\Theta_m(v)H_m(w)H_m(u+v+w) \\ &= \Theta_m(0)\Theta_m(u+v)H_m(u+w)H_m(v+w) \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Appendix 2. Modified theta functions and Jacobi theta functions.

We write the modified theta functions

$$H_m(u) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2 (u-K)^2}{8KL\eta}\right) H(u) \qquad \Theta_m(u) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi m_2 (u-K)^2}{8KL\eta}\right) \Theta(u) \tag{B.1}$$

in terms of theta functions with characteristics defined as

$$\Theta_{\epsilon\,\epsilon'} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(n+\epsilon/2)^2} \exp(2\pi i(n+\epsilon/2)(z+\epsilon'/2)) \tag{B.2}$$

$$q = \exp(i\pi\tau) \tag{B.3}$$

To cancel a common divisor of m_1 and m_2 in

$$2L\eta = 2m_1 K + i m_2 K' \tag{B.4}$$

we define

$$(2m_1, m_2) = r_0 \qquad 2m_1 = r_0 r_1 \qquad m_2 = r_0 r_2 \tag{B.5}$$

$$2L\eta = r_0(r_1 K + i r_2 K') \tag{B.6}$$

It follows

$$H_m(u) = -\exp\left(\frac{i\pi r_2 w^2}{r_1 + r_2\tau}\right) \Theta_{11}(w+1/2) \tag{B.7}$$

$$\Theta_m(u) = \quad \exp\left(\frac{i\pi r_2 w^2}{r_1 + r_2\tau}\right) \Theta_{01}(w+1/2) \tag{B.8}$$

where

$$w = u/2K - 1/2 \tag{B.9}$$

or after a shift of the argument

$$H_m(u) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi r_2 w^2}{r_1 + r_2\tau}\right) \Theta_{10}(w) \tag{B.10}$$

$$\Theta_m(u) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi r_2 w^2}{r_1 + r_2\tau}\right) \Theta_{00}(w) \tag{B.11}$$

The second step is to use the functional relation of theta functions. From [22]

$$\exp\left(\frac{i\pi c w^2}{c\tau + d}\right) \Theta_{\tilde\epsilon,\tilde\epsilon'}(w) = \kappa^{-1}(\epsilon,\epsilon',\gamma)(c\tau+d)^{-1/2} \Theta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}\left(\frac{w}{c\tau+d}, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) \tag{B.12}$$

where

$$\gamma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \qquad ad - bc = 1 \tag{B.13}$$

and

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = a\epsilon + c\epsilon' - ac \qquad \tilde{\epsilon}' = b\epsilon + d\epsilon' + bd \tag{B.14}$$

We use (B.12) to derive from (B.10) and (B.11) for $c = r_2$ and $d = r_1$

$$H_m(u) = \kappa(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1', \gamma)^{-1}(r_1 + r_2\tau)^{-1/2}\Theta_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_1'}\left(\frac{w}{r_1 + r_2\tau}, \tau'\right) \tag{B.15}$$

$$\Theta_m(u) = \kappa(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2', \gamma)^{-1}(r_1 + r_2\tau)^{-1/2}\Theta_{\epsilon_2,\epsilon_2'}\left(\frac{w}{r_1 + r_2\tau}, \tau'\right) \tag{B.16}$$

$$\epsilon_1 = ar_1(r_1 + r_2) \qquad \epsilon_1' = -b(1 + a(r_1 + r_2)) \tag{B.17}$$

$$\epsilon_2 = r_1 r_2(a + b) \qquad \epsilon_2' = -ab(r_1 + r_2) \tag{B.18}$$

where

$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{r_2\tau + r_1} \qquad w = \frac{u - K}{2K} \tag{B.19}$$

and where the integers a, b are solutions of

$$ar_1 - br_2 = 1 \tag{B.20}$$

The indices $\epsilon_1, \cdots \epsilon_2'$ can be shifted to $0, 1$ such that the theta functions on the right hand sides of (B.15), B.16) become

$$\Theta_{00}, \Theta_{01}, \Theta_{10}, \Theta_{11} \equiv \Theta_1, \Theta, H_1, H.$$

It follows from equs. (B.15) and (B.16) that the period and quasiperiod of $H_m(u)$ and $\Theta_m(u)$ are

$$\omega_1 = 2(r_1K + ir_2K') \qquad \omega_2 = 2(bK + iaK') \tag{B.21}$$

The coefficient $\kappa(\epsilon, \epsilon', \gamma)$ is not used in this paper. It is an eighth root of unity and its dependence on ϵ, ϵ' is given by [22].

$$\kappa(\epsilon, \epsilon', \gamma) = \kappa(0, 0, \gamma) \exp\left(\frac{-i\pi}{4}(\epsilon^2 ab + \epsilon'^2 cd + 2\epsilon\epsilon' bc + 2(a\epsilon + c\epsilon')bd)\right) \tag{B.22}$$

Appendix 3. The equation for $Q_R^{(2)}(v; t)$

We establish here the relation (79). Using the method of ref. [1] and [16] we write

$$T(v)Q_R^{(2)}(v; t) = \mathrm{Tr}A(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \cdots A(\alpha_N, \beta_N) + \mathrm{Tr}B(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \cdots B(\alpha_N, \beta_N) \tag{C.1}$$

where $A(\alpha, \beta)$ and $B(\alpha, \beta)$ are $2L \times 2L$ matrices given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A(\alpha, \beta) & 0 \\ C(\alpha, \beta) & B(\alpha, \beta) \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} I & -P \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right) U(\alpha, \beta) \left(\begin{array}{cc} I & P \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right) \tag{C.2}$$

with

$$P_{m,n} = p_n \delta_{m,n} \tag{C.3}$$

and p_n given by (77) and where

$$U(+,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} aS_R^{(2)}(+,\beta) & dS^{(2)}(-,\beta) \\ cS_R^{(2)}(-,\beta) & bS_R^{(2)}(+,\beta) \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.4}$$

$$U(-,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} bS_R^{(2)}(-,\beta) & cS^{(2)}(+,\beta) \\ dS_R^{(2)}(+,\beta) & aS_R^{(2)}(-,\beta) \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.5}$$

Then from (C.2) and (78) we use

$$Hm(-v)/\Theta_m(-v) = -H_m(v)/\Theta_m(v) \tag{C.6}$$

and the identities (A.14), (A.15) to find

$$A(+,\beta)_{k,k+1} = -\tau_{\beta,-k}\frac{\Theta_m(-(2k+1)\eta - t)}{\Theta_m(-(2k-1)\eta - t)}h(v-\eta)H_m(v - 2k\eta + 2\eta - t) \tag{C.7}$$

$$A(+,\beta)_{k+1,k} = \tau_{\beta,k}\frac{\Theta((2k-1)\eta + t)}{\Theta((2k+1)\eta + t)}h(v-\eta)H_m(v + 2k\eta + 2\eta + t) \tag{C.8}$$

$$A(-,\beta)_{k,k+1} = \tau_{\beta,-k}\frac{\Theta_m(-(2k+1)\eta - t)}{\Theta_m(-(2k-1)\eta - t)}h(v-\eta)\Theta_m(v - 2k\eta + 2\eta - t) \tag{C.9}$$

$$A(-,\beta)_{k+1,k} = \tau_{\beta,k}\frac{\Theta_m((2k-1)\eta + t)}{\Theta_m((2k+1)\eta + t)}h(v-\eta)\Theta_m(v + 2k\eta + 2\eta + t) \tag{C.10}$$

$$B(+,\beta)_{k,k+1} = -\tau_{\beta,-k}\frac{\Theta_m(-(2k-1)\eta - t)}{\Theta_m(-(2k+1)\eta - t)}h(v+\eta)H_m(v - 2k\eta - 2\eta - t) \tag{C.11}$$

$$B(+,\beta)_{k+1,k} = \tau_{\beta,k}\frac{\Theta_m((2k+1)\eta + t)}{\Theta_m((2k-1)\eta + t)}h(v+\eta)H_m(v + 2k\eta - 2\eta + t) \tag{C.12}$$

$$B(-,\beta)_{k,k+1} = \tau_{\beta,-k}\frac{\Theta_m(-(2k-1)\eta - t)}{\Theta_m(-(2k+1)\eta - t)}h(v+\eta)\Theta_m(v - 2k\eta - 2\eta - t) \tag{C.13}$$

$$B(-,\beta)_{k+1,k} = \tau_{\beta,k}\frac{\Theta_m((2k+1)\eta + t)}{\Theta_m((2k-1)\eta + t)}h(v+\eta)\Theta_m(v + 2k\eta - 2\eta + t) \tag{C.14}$$

From (A.7) we have

$$\frac{\Theta_m(-(2k+1)\eta - t)}{\Theta_m(-(2k-1)\eta - t)} = \exp(\pi i m_2/L)\frac{\Theta_m((2k+1)\eta + t)}{\Theta_m((2k-1)\eta + t)} \tag{C.15}$$

and thus with the definition (80) of ω and

$$f_k = \omega\frac{\Theta((2k+1)\eta + t)}{\Theta((2k-1)\eta + t)} \tag{C.16}$$

we may write (C.7)-(C.14) as

$$A(+,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) = \omega f_k \;\; h(v-\eta)S_R(+,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v+2\eta) \tag{C.17}$$

$$A(+,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) = \omega f_k^{-1}h(v-\eta)S_R(+,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v+2\eta) \tag{C.18}$$

$$A(-,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) = \omega f_k \;\; h(v-\eta)S_R(-,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v+2\eta) \tag{C.19}$$

$$A(-,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) = \omega f_k^{-1}h(v-\eta)S_R(-,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v+2\eta) \tag{C.20}$$

$$B(+,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) = \omega^{-1} f_k^{-1}h(v+\eta)S_R(+,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v-2\eta) \tag{C.21}$$

$$B(+,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) = \omega^{-1} f_k \;\; h(v+\eta)S_R(+,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v-2\eta) \tag{C.22}$$

$$B(-,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v) = \omega^{-1} f_k^{-1} h(v+\eta) S_R(-,\beta)_{k,k+1}(v-2\eta) \tag{C.23}$$

$$B(-,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v) = \omega^{-1} f_k \ \ h(v+\eta) S_R(-,\beta)_{k+1,k}(v-2\eta) \tag{C.24}$$

The TQ_R equation (C.1) will be obtained if the factors of f_k can be removed by a diagonal similarity transformation.

$$S_A A(\alpha,\beta) S_A^{-1} \tag{C.25}$$

with

$$S_{A;k,k'} = s_k \delta_{k,k'} \tag{C.26}$$

this is accomplished for the elements $A_{k,k+1}(\alpha,\beta)$ and $A_{k+1,k}(\alpha,\beta)$ with $1 \leq k, \leq L-1$

$$\frac{s_k f_k}{s_{k+1}} = \frac{s_{k+1}}{s_k f_k} = \frac{s_1}{s_L f_L} = \frac{s_L f_L}{s_1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq k \leq L-1 \tag{C.27}$$

From the first equation in (C.27) we have

$$\frac{s_k f_k}{s_{k+1}} = \pm 1 \tag{C.28}$$

where the choice ±1 is still to be determined and from (C.28) we have

$$s_k = (\pm 1)^{k-1} s_1 \omega^{k-1} \frac{\Theta_m[(2k-1)\eta + t]}{\Theta_m(\eta + t)}. \tag{C.29}$$

The remaining equations in (C.28) will hold if

$$\frac{s_L f_L}{s_1} = \pm 1 \tag{C.30}$$

and using (C.29) we obtain

$$(\pm 1)^L \omega^L \frac{\theta_m[(2L+1)\eta + t]}{\theta_m(\eta + t)} = 1 \tag{C.31}$$

which if we further use (A.13) restricted to the present case where m_1 and m_2 are even and L is odd determines that the factor ±1 is

$$\pm 1 = (-1)^{m_2/2} \tag{C.32}$$

An identical computation holds for the matrices $B(\alpha,\beta)$ and thus (recalling the N is even) we have proven that (79) holds.

References

[1] R.J. Baxter, Partition function of the eight vertex model, Ann. Phys. 70 (1972) 193-228.

[2] R.J. Baxter, Eight–vertex model in lattice statistics and one–dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain I: Some fundamental eigenvectors, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973) 1-24.

[3] R.J. Baxter, Eight–vertex model in lattice statistics and one–dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain II: Equivalence to a generalized Ice-type lattice model, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973) 25-47.

[4] R.J. Baxter, Eight–vertex model in lattice statistics and one–dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain III: Eigenvectors of the transfer matrix and the Hamiltonian, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973)48-71.

[5] R. J. Baxter, On the completeness of the Bethe Ansatz for the six and eight-vertex models J. Stat. Phys. 108 (2002) 1-48.

[6] L.A. Takhtadzhan and L.D. Faddeev, The quantum method of the inverse problem and the Heisenberg XYZ model, Russian Math. Surveys 34:5 (1779) 11-68; translated from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 34:5 (1979) 12-63.

[7] A. Zabrodin, Commuting Difference Operators with elliptic coefficients from Baxter's vacuum vectors, J. Phys. A:Mat.Gen. 33,(2000) 3825-3850.

[8] T. Deguchi, Construction of some missing eigenvectors of the XYZ spin chain at discrete coupling constants and the exponentially large spectral degeneracy of the transfer matrix, J.Phys. A 35 (2002) 879-895.

[9] T. Deguchi, The 8V CSOS model and the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the six-vertex model at roots of unity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 16 (2002) 1899-1905.

[10] V.V. Bazhanov and V.V. Mangazeev, Eight vertex model and non-stationary Lame equation, J. Phys. A:Math.Gen. 38 (2005) L145-L153.

[11] V.V. Bazhanov and V.V. Mangazeev, Eight vertex model and Painlevé VI, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. 39 (2006) 12235-12243.

[12] V.V. Bazhanov and V.V. Mangazeev, Analytic theory of the eight vertex model, Nucl. Phys. B 775 (2007) 225-282.

[13] S-S. Roan, The Q-operator and functional relations of the eight-vertex model at root-of-unity $\eta = 2mK/N$ for odd N, J. Phys. A:Math.Theor. 40 (2007), 11019-11044.

[14] K.Fabricius and B.M.McCoy, New developements in the eight vertex model, J. Stat. Phys. 111 (2003) 323-337.

[15] K. Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, New developments in the eightvertex model II. Chains of odd length, J. Stat. Phys. 120 (2005) 37-70.

[16] K. Fabricius, A new Q matrix in the eightvertex model, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 4075-4086.

[17] Yu. Stroganov, The importance of being odd, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. 34 (2001) L179-L185.

[18] A.V. Razumov and Yu. G. Stroganov, Spin chains and combinatorics, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. 34 (2001) 3185-3190.

[19] M.T. Batchelor, J.De Gier and B. Nienhuis, The quantum symmetric XXZ chain at $\Delta = -1/2$, alternating-sign matrices and plane partitions, J. Phys. A 34 (2001) L265-L270.

[20] J. de Gier, M.T. Batchelor, B. Nienhuis, and S. Mitra, The XXZ spin chain at $\Delta = -1/2$; Bethe roots, symmetric functions and determinants, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 4135-4146.

[21] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press. London (1982).

[22] H. Farkas and I. Kra, Theta constants, Riemann surfaces and the modular group, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol 37 (Am. Math. Soc. Providence Rhode Island) 2001.